arXiv:1506.03575v1 [math.DG] 11 Jun 2015

REALIZATION OF GLOBALLY EXCEPTIONAL RIEMANNIAN 4-SYMMETRIC SPACE $E_8/(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$

TOSHIKAZU MIYASHITA

Abstract. The compact simply connected Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces were classified by J.A. Jiménez. As homogeneous manifolds, these spaces are of the G/H, where G is a connected compact simple Lie group with an automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ of oder 4 and H is a fixed points subgroup G^γ of G. In the present article, for the exceptional compact Lie group $G = E_8$, we give the explicit form of automorphism of order 4 induced by the $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear transformation σ'_4 and determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$. Thereby, we realize the globally exceptional Riemannian 4-symmetric space $E_8/(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the notion of the Riemannian k-symmetric space is a generalization of the Riemannian symmetric space. Its definition is as follows:

Let G be a Lie group and H a compact subgroup of G. A homogeneous space G/H with G-invariant Riemannian metric g is called a Riemannian k-symmetric space if there exists an automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ of order k on G such that $(G^\gamma)_0 \subset H \subset G^\gamma$, where G^γ and $(G^\gamma)_0$ is the fixed points subgroup of G and its identity component, respectively, and such that the transformation of G/H induced by γ is an isometry ([2]).

Until now, the Riemannian 3-symmetric spaces were classified by A. Gray [3], and moreover the compact Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces were classified by J.A. Jiménez [4] as mentioned in abstract.

Now, for the exceptional compact Lie groups $G = G_2, F_4, E_6, E_7$, we have already realized the Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces G/H by giving automorphisms $\tilde{\gamma}$ of order 4 explicitly and by determining the structure of the group $H = G^\gamma$ corresponding to the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{g}^\gamma$ of Tables III, IV and V in [4]. In particular, for $G = E_8$, there exist seven types of Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces. From now on, we call those spaces "Globally exceptional Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces". The main purpose of this article is to give the automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}'_4$ of order 4 on E_8 explicitly and to determine the structure of the fixed points subgroup $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$ of E_8, where the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$ is as follows:

$$(E_8)^{\sigma'_4} \cong (Spin(6) \times Spin(10))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4.$$

Here, the spinor groups $Spin(6)$ and $Spin(10)$ above are respectively realized as the subgroup $(F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ and the subgroup $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ of $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$, where the definitions or the details of $(F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ and $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ are shown later. This amounts to the globally realization of one of seven types with an automorphism of order 4 on E_8.

According to [2], it is known that the involutive automorphisms of k-symmetric spaces G/H preserving H are important, because such involutive automorphisms play an important role in the classification of symmetric submanifolds on symmetric spaces.

On the globally exceptional Riemannian 4-symmetric space $E_8/(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$, the involutive automorphism of this 4-symmetric space preserving $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$ is given as the involutive automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ induced by the C-linear transformation σ of the complex vector space ${\mathfrak{e}_8}^C$,

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C30, 53C35, 17B40.

Key words. 4-symmetric spaces, exceptional Lie groups.

where σ is defined later. Moreover, from $(E_8)^\sigma \cong Ss(16)(= Spin(16)/\boldsymbol{Z}_2)$ ([6, Proposition 4.20.2]) and $({\sigma'_4})^2 = \sigma$, we can confirm that the space $E_8/(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$ has the structure of fiber bundle as follows:

$$(E_8)^\sigma/(E_8)^{\sigma'_4} \to E_8/(E_8)^{\sigma'_4} \to E_8/(E_8)^\sigma,$$

that is,

$$Ss(16)/(Spin(6)\times Spin(10))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4 \to E_8/(Spin(6)\times Spin(10))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4 \to E_8/Ss(16).$$

Again we would like to state about the group $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)}$. The essential part to prove the isomorphism as a group is to show the connectedness of the group $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)}$. In order to obtain this end, we need to treat the complex case as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} &\cong Spin(6,C),\\
({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} &\cong (SL(2,C)\times Spin(6,C)\times Spin(6,C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4,\\
({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} &\cong SL(2,C)\times Spin(6,C),\\
({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} &\cong Spin(10,C),\\
({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4} &\cong (Spin(6,C)\times Spin(10,C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4,
\end{aligned}$$

and the connectedness of the group $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$, the definitions or the details of the group on the left-hand side in each row above are also shown later.

In this article, in order to study the subgroups of E_8 as mentioned above, since we need to have some knowledge of the their complexification G^C of $G = F_4, E_6, E_7$ or E_8, refer to [1], [8], [9] or [10], and we use the same notations as in [8], [9], [10] or [11].

Finally, the author would like to say that the feature of this article is to give elementary proofs by the homomorphism theorem except several proofs.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathfrak{J}(3,\mathfrak{C}^C)$ and $\mathfrak{J}(3,\mathfrak{C})$ be the exceptional C- and $\boldsymbol{R}$-Jordan algebras, respectively. In $\mathfrak{J}(3,\mathfrak{C}^C)$, the Jordan multiplication $X\circ Y$, the inner product (X,Y) and a cross multiplication $X\times Y$, called the Freudenthal multiplication, are defined by

$$X\circ Y = \frac{1}{2}(XY+YX), \quad (X,Y)=\mathrm{tr}(X\circ Y),$$
$$X\times Y = \frac{1}{2}(2X\circ Y - \mathrm{tr}(X)Y - \mathrm{tr}(Y)X + (\mathrm{tr}(X)\mathrm{tr}(Y)-(X,Y))E),$$

respectively, where E is the 3×3 unit matrix. Moreover, we define the trilinear form (X,Y,Z), the determinant $\det X$ by

$$(X,Y,Z) = (X, Y\times Z), \quad \det X = \frac{1}{3}(X,X,X),$$

respectively, and briefly denote $\mathfrak{J}(3,\mathfrak{C}^C)$ and $\mathfrak{J}(3,\mathfrak{C})$ by $\mathfrak{J}^C$ and $\mathfrak{J}$, respectively. In $\mathfrak{J}$, we can also define the relational formulas above.

The connected complex Lie group ${F_4}^C$ and the connected compact Lie group F_4 are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
{F_4}^C &= \{\alpha\in \mathrm{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{J}^C)\,|\,\alpha(X\circ Y)=\alpha X\circ\alpha Y\}\\
&= \{\alpha\in \mathrm{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{J}^C)\,|\,\det\alpha X=\det X, (\alpha X,\alpha Y)=(X,Y)\}\\
&= \{\alpha\in \mathrm{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{J}^C)\,|\,\det\alpha X=\det X, \alpha E=E\},\\
F_4 &= \{\alpha\in \mathrm{Iso}_{\boldsymbol{R}}(\mathfrak{J})\,|\,\alpha(X\circ Y)=\alpha X\circ\alpha Y\},
\end{aligned}$$

respectively. Let τ be the complex conjugation in $\mathfrak{J}^C$. Then we have $F_4 = ({F_4}^C)^\tau$ (see [8, Section 2.4] in detail). Moreover, the Lie algebra ${\mathfrak{f}_4}^C$ of the group ${F_4}^C$ is given by

$$ {\mathfrak{f}_4}^C = \{ D + \tilde{A}_1(a_1) + \tilde{A}_2(a_2) + \tilde{A}_3(a_3) \,|\, D \in \mathfrak{so}(8, C), a_k \in \mathfrak{C}^C, k = 1, 2, 3 \}, $$

where $\tilde{A}_k(a_k)$ is the C-linear mapping of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ (see [8, Theorem 2.2.2] in detail).

We define an $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear transformation σ'_4 of $\mathfrak{J}$ by

$$ \sigma'_4 X = \sigma'_4 \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3 & \overline{x}_2 \\ \overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & -x_3 e_1 & \overline{e_1 x_2} \\ -\overline{x_3 e_1} & \xi_2 & -e_1 x_1 e_1 \\ e_1 x_2 & -\overline{e_1 x_1 e_1} & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \ X \in \mathfrak{J}, $$

where the element e_1 is one of the basis of $\mathfrak{C} = \{ e_0 = 1, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_7 \}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$. Hereafter, a symbol e_k means one of the basis of $\mathfrak{C}$ or $\mathfrak{C}^C$. Then we have that $\sigma'_4 \in Spin(8) \subset F_4 \subset {F_4}^C, (\sigma'_4)^4 = 1, (\sigma'_4)^2 = \sigma$, where an $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear transformation $\sigma : \mathfrak{J} \to \mathfrak{J}$ is defined by

$$ \sigma X = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3 & \overline{x}_2 \\ \overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & -x_3 & -\overline{x}_2 \\ -\overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ -x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \ X \in \mathfrak{J}. $$

(Note that the $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear transformation σ of $\mathfrak{J}$ is naturally extended to the C-linear transformation of $\mathfrak{J}^C$.) Hence σ'_4 induces the automorphisms $\tilde{\sigma'_4}$ of order 4 on F_4: $\tilde{\sigma'_4}(\alpha) = {\sigma'_4}^{-1} \alpha \sigma'_4, \alpha \in F_4$, and using inclusion $F_4 \subset {F_4}^C$, the $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear transformation σ'_4 of $\mathfrak{J}$ is naturally extended to the C-linear transformation of $\mathfrak{J}^C$. Hence σ'_4 induces the automorphisms $\tilde{\sigma'_4}$ of order 4 on ${F_4}^C$: $\tilde{\sigma'_4}(\alpha) = {\sigma'_4}^{-1} \alpha \sigma'_4, \alpha \in {F_4}^C$.

The simply connected complex Lie group ${E_6}^C$ is defined by

$$ \begin{aligned} {E_6}^C &= \{ \alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{J}^C) \,|\, \det \alpha X = \det X \} \\ &= \{ \alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{J}^C) \,|\, (\alpha X, \alpha Y, \alpha Z) = (X, Y, Z) \}. \end{aligned} $$

Then we have naturally the inclusion ${F_4}^C \subset {E_6}^C$, and it is easy to see $({E_6}^C)_E = {F_4}^C$. Moreover, the Lie algebra ${\mathfrak{e}_6}^C$ of the group ${E_6}^C$ is given by

$$ {\mathfrak{e}_6}^C = \{ \phi = \delta + \tilde{T} \,|\, \delta \in {\mathfrak{f}_4}^C, \ T \in (\mathfrak{J}^C)_0 \}, $$

where $(\mathfrak{J}^C)_0 = \{ X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,|\, \mathrm{tr}(X) = 0 \}$ and the C-linear mapping $\tilde{T}$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ is defined by $\tilde{T} X = T \circ X, X \in \mathfrak{J}^C$ (see [11, Proposition 2.4.1, Theorem 3.2.1] in detail).

Let $\mathfrak{P}^C$ be the Freudenthal C-vector space

$$ \mathfrak{P}^C = \mathfrak{J}^C \oplus \mathfrak{J}^C \oplus C \oplus C, $$

in which the Freudenthal cross operation $P \times Q, P = (X, Y, \xi, \eta), Q = (Z, W, \zeta, \omega) \in \mathfrak{P}^C$, is defined as follows:

$$ P \times Q = \Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu), \qquad \left\{ \begin{aligned} \phi &= -\frac{1}{2}(X \vee W + Z \vee Y) \\ A &= -\frac{1}{4}(2Y \times W - \xi Z - \zeta X) \\ B &= \frac{1}{4}(2X \times Z - \eta W - \omega Y) \\ \nu &= \frac{1}{8}((X, W) + (Z, Y) - 3(\xi\omega + \zeta\eta)), \end{aligned} \right. $$

where $X \vee W \in {\mathfrak{e}_6}^C$ is defined by

$$ X \vee W = [\tilde{X}, \tilde{W}] + (X \circ W - \frac{1}{3}(X, W)E)^{\sim}, $$

here the C-linear mappings $\tilde{X}, \tilde{W}$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ are same ones as in ${E_6}^C$.

The simply connected complex Lie group ${E_7}^C$ is defined by

$$ {E_7}^C = \{ \alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}_C(\mathfrak{P}^C) \,|\, \alpha(P \times Q)\alpha^{-1} = \alpha P \times \alpha Q \}. $$

Moreover, the Lie algebra ${\mathfrak{e}_7}^C$ of the group ${E_7}^C$ is given by

$${\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \ = \ \{\varPhi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \,|\, \phi \in {\mathfrak{e}_6}^C, A, B \in \mathfrak{J}^C, \nu \in C\}.$$

For $\alpha \in {E_6}^C$, the mapping $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathfrak{P}^C \to \mathfrak{P}^C$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(X, Y, \xi, \eta) = (\alpha X, {}^t\alpha^{-1} Y, \xi, \eta),$$

then we have $\tilde{\alpha} \in {E_7}^C$, and so α and $\tilde{\alpha}$ will be identified. The group ${E_7}^C$ contains ${E_6}^C$ as a subgroup by

$${E_6}^C = ({E_7}^C)_{(0,0,1,0),(0,0,0,1)}.$$

Hence we have the inclusion ${F_4}^C \subset {E_6}^C \subset {E_7}^C$. Using these inclusions, the C-linear transformation σ'_4 of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ is naturally extended to the C-linear transformation of $\mathfrak{P}^C$:

$$\sigma'_4(X, Y, \xi, \eta) = (\sigma'_4 X, \sigma'_4 Y, \xi, \eta), \ (X, Y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{P}^C.$$

Hence we see $\sigma'_4 \in {E_7}^C$, and so σ'_4 induces the automorphisms $\tilde{\sigma'_4}$ of order 4 on ${E_7}^C$: $\tilde{\sigma'_4}(\alpha) = {\sigma'_4}^{-1} \alpha \sigma'_4, \alpha \in {E_7}^C$.

Let ${\mathfrak{e}_8}^C$ be the 248 dimensional C-vector space

$${\mathfrak{e}_8}^C \ = \ {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \oplus \mathfrak{P}^C \oplus \mathfrak{P}^C \oplus C \oplus C \oplus C.$$

We define a Lie bracket $[R_1, R_2], R_1 = (\varPhi_1, P_1, Q_1, r_1, s_1, t_1), R_2 = (\varPhi_2, P_2, Q_2, r_2, s_2, t_2)$, by

$$[(\varPhi_1, P_1, Q_1, r_1, s_1, t_1), (\varPhi_2, P_2, Q_2, r_2, s_2, t_2)] =: (\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, t),$$

$$\begin{cases} \varPhi = [\varPhi_1, \varPhi_2] + P_1 \times Q_2 - P_2 \times Q_1 \\ P = \varPhi_1 P_2 - \varPhi_2 P_1 + r_1 P_2 - r_2 P_1 + s_1 Q_2 - s_2 Q_1 \\ Q = \varPhi_1 Q_2 - \varPhi_2 Q_1 - r_1 Q_2 + r_2 Q_1 + t_1 P_2 - t_2 P_1 \\ r = -\dfrac{1}{8}\{P_1, Q_2\} + \dfrac{1}{8}\{P_2, Q_1\} + s_1 t_2 - s_2 t_1 \\ s = \ \ \dfrac{1}{4}\{P_1, P_2\} + 2r_1 s_2 - 2r_2 s_1 \\ t = -\dfrac{1}{4}\{Q_1, Q_2\} - 2r_1 t_2 + 2r_2 t_1. \end{cases}$$

Then the C-vector space ${\mathfrak{e}_8}^C$ becomes a complex simple Lie algebra of type E_8.

We define a C-linear transformation λ_ω of ${\mathfrak{e}_8}^C$ by

$$\lambda_\omega(\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\lambda \varPhi \lambda^{-1}, \lambda Q, -\lambda P, -r, -t, -s),$$

where a C-linear transformation λ of $\mathfrak{P}^C$ on the right-hand side is defined by $\lambda(X, Y, \xi, \eta)$ $= (Y, -X, \eta, -\xi)$. As in $\mathfrak{J}^C$, the complex conjugation in ${\mathfrak{e}_8}^C$ is denoted by τ:

$$\tau(\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\tau \varPhi \tau, \tau P, \tau Q, \tau r, \tau s, \tau t).$$

The connected complex Lie group ${E_8}^C$ and the connected compact Lie group E_8 are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} {E_8}^C \ &= \ \{\alpha \in \mathrm{Iso}_C({\mathfrak{e}_8}^C) \,|\, \alpha[R, R'] = [\alpha R, \alpha R']\}, \\ E_8 \ &= \ \{\alpha \in {E_8}^C \,|\, \tau\lambda_\omega \alpha \lambda_\omega \tau = \alpha\} = ({E_8}^C)^{\tau\lambda_\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Moreover, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_8$ of the group E_8 is given by

$$\mathfrak{e}_8 = \{(\varPhi, P, -\tau\lambda P, r, s, -\tau s) \,|\, \varPhi \in \mathfrak{e}_7, P \in \mathfrak{J}^C, r \in i\boldsymbol{R}, s \in C\}.$$

For $\alpha \in {E_7}^C$, the mapping $\tilde{\alpha} : {\mathfrak{e}_8}^C \to {\mathfrak{e}_8}^C$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, t) = (\alpha \varPhi \alpha^{-1}, \alpha P, \alpha Q, r, s, t),$$

then we have $\tilde{\alpha} \in {E_8}^C$, and so α and $\tilde{\alpha}$ will be identified. The group ${E_8}^C$ contains ${E_7}^C$ as a subgroup by

$${E_7}^C = \{\tilde{\alpha} \in {E_8}^C \,|\, \alpha \in {E_7}^C\} = ({E_8}^C)_{(0,0,0,1,0,0),(0,0,0,0,1,0),(0,0,0,0,0,1)}.$$

Hence we have the inclusion ${E_7}^C \subset {E_8}^C$. Using this inclusion, since the C-linear transformation σ'_4 of $\mathfrak{P}^C$ is naturally extended to the C-linear transformation of ${\mathfrak{e}_8}^C$:

$$\sigma'_4(\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) = ({\sigma'_4}^{-1}\Phi\sigma'_4, \sigma'_4 P, \sigma'_4 Q, r, s, t),\ (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in {\mathfrak{e}_8}^C,$$

we have $\sigma'_4 \in {E_8}^C$, and so σ'_4 induces the automorphisms $\tilde{\sigma}'_4$ of order 4 on ${E_8}^C$: $\tilde{\sigma}'_4(\alpha) = {\sigma'_4}^{-1}\alpha\sigma'_4, \alpha \in {E_8}^C$, and so is E_8.

In the last of this section, we state two useful lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *For Lie groups G, G', let a mapping $\varphi : G \to G'$ be a homomorphism of Lie groups. When G' is connected, if* $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi$ *is discrete and* $\dim(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim(\mathfrak{g}')$, *$\varphi$ is surjection.*

Proof. The proof is omitted (cf. [8, Lemma 0.6 (2)]). □

Lemma 2.2 (E. Cartan-Raševskii)**.** *Let G be a simply connected Lie group with a finite order automorphism σ of G. Then G^σ is connected.*

Proof. The proof is omitted (cf. [8, Lemma 0.7]). □

After this, using these lemmas without permission each times, we often prove lemma, proposition or theorem.

3. The group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$

The aim of this section is to determine the structure of the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$:

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} = \{\alpha \in {F_4}^C \,|\, \alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 0, 1\}.$$

Now, we start to make preparations.

We define groups $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$ and $Spin(8, C)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3} &= \{\alpha \in {F_4}^C \,|\, \alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}, \\ Spin(8, C) &= \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in SO(8, C)^{\times 3} \,|\, (\alpha_1 x)(\alpha_2 y) = \overline{\alpha_3(\overline{xy})}, x, y \in \mathfrak{C}^C\}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *The group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(8, C)$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3} \cong Spin(8, C)$.*

Proof. We define a mapping $\varphi : Spin(8, C) \to ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$ by

$$\varphi((\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3))X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \alpha_3 x_3 & \overline{\alpha_2 x_2} \\ \overline{\alpha_3 x_3} & \xi_2 & \alpha_1 x_1 \\ \alpha_2 x_2 & \overline{\alpha_1 x_1} & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix},\ X \in \mathfrak{J}^C.$$

This homomorphism φ induces the isomorphism between $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$ and $Spin(8, C)$ (cf. [11, Theorem 2.7.1]). □

As necessary, we denote any element $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$ by $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in Spin(8, C)$, that is, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$.

We define an $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear transformation δ_1 of $\mathfrak{C}$ by

$$\delta_1 : e_0 \to e_6,\ e_1 \to e_7,\ e_i \to e_i,\ i = 2, 3, 4, 5,\ e_6 \to e_0,\ e_7 \to e_1,$$

basiswisely. Using matrix representation, the explicit form of δ_1 is as follows:

$$\delta_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & & 1 & 0 \\ & 0 & & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & & & 0 & \\ 0 & 1 & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in M(8, \boldsymbol{R}),$$

where the blanks are 0. Then we easily see that $\delta_1 \in SO(8)$. The $\boldsymbol{R}$-linear transformation δ_1 is naturally extended to the C-linear transformation of $\mathfrak{C}^C$.

We consider groups $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1,2,3,4,5}$ and $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,3,4,5,6,7}$:

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1,2,3,4,5} = \left\{ \alpha \in {F_4}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \end{array} \right\},$$

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,3,4,5,6,7} = \left\{ \alpha \in {F_4}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Hereafter, we often denote $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ by abbreviated form $k = 0, \ldots, 5$, and also often denote these groups above by abbreviated forms $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)}$, $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$ as example. The other cases are similar to these.

Proposition 3.2. *The group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)}$ *is isomorphic to the group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)} \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$.

Proof. We define a mapping $\varphi : ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)} \to ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$ by

$$\varphi(\alpha) = \delta^{-1}\alpha\delta,$$

where $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \in Spin(8, C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$ (Theorem 3.1), here δ_1 is defined in previous page, and note that for this δ_1 there exist $\delta_2, \delta_3 \in SO(8, C)$ by the Principal of triality on $SO(8, C)$. From $\alpha, \delta \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$, it is easy to see that $\varphi(\alpha) \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3}$. Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\alpha)F_1(e_6) &= (\delta^{-1}\alpha\delta)F_1(e_6) = (\delta^{-1}\alpha)F_1(\delta_1 e_6) \\ &= (\delta^{-1}\alpha)F_1(e_0) = \delta^{-1}F_1(e_0) = F_1({\delta_1}^{-1}e_0) = F_1(e_6) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\varphi(\alpha)F_1(e_7) = F_1(e_7)$, and it is clear that $\varphi(\alpha)F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 2, 3, 4, 5$. Hence we have $\varphi(\alpha) \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$, that is, φ is well-defined. From the definition of the mapping φ, it is clear that φ is bijection.

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)} \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}.$$

□

Let the complex unitary group $U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C) = \{\theta \in \boldsymbol{C}^C \,|\, \theta\overline{\theta} = 1\}$. Then we have the following lemma.

Theorem 3.3. *The group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$ *is isomorphic to* $U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$.

Proof. We define a mapping $\phi : U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C) \to ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$ by

$$\phi(\theta)X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3\theta & \overline{\theta x_2} \\ \overline{x_3\theta} & \xi_2 & \overline{\theta}x_1\overline{\theta} \\ \theta x_2 & \theta\overline{x}_1\theta & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, X \in \mathfrak{J}^C.$$

Then ϕ is well-defined. Indeed, by using the relational formula $\mathrm{Re}(x(yz)) = \mathrm{Re}(y(zx)) = \mathrm{Re}(z(xy)), x, y, z \in \mathfrak{C}^C$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\phi(\theta)X) &= \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + 2\mathrm{Re}((\overline{\theta}x_1\overline{\theta})(\theta x_2)(x_3)\theta)) - \xi_1(\overline{\theta}x_1\overline{\theta})(\theta\,\overline{x}_1\theta) \\
&\qquad -\xi_2(\theta x_2)(\overline{\theta_2 x_2}) - \xi_3(x_3\theta)(\overline{x_3\theta}) \\
&= \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + 2\mathrm{Re}((\overline{\theta}x_1\overline{\theta})(\theta(x_2x_3)\theta)) - \xi_1|\overline{\theta}x_1\overline{\theta}|^2 - \xi_2|\theta x_2|^2 - \xi_3|x_3\theta|^2 \\
&= \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + 2\mathrm{Re}(\theta(\overline{\theta}x_1\overline{\theta}))(\theta(x_2x_3)) - \xi_1|x_1|^2 - \xi_2|x_2|^2 - \xi_3|x_3|^2 \\
&= \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + 2\mathrm{Re}(x_1\overline{\theta})(\theta(x_2x_3)) - \xi_1|x_1|^2 - \xi_2|x_2|^2 - \xi_3|x_3|^2 \\
&= \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + 2\mathrm{Re}((x_2x_3)((x_1\overline{\theta})\theta) - \xi_1|x_1|^2 - \xi_2|x_2|^2 - \xi_3|x_3|^2 \\
&= \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + 2\mathrm{Re}((x_2x_3)(x_1) - \xi_1|x_1|^2 - \xi_2|x_2|^2 - \xi_3|x_3|^2 \\
&= \xi_1\xi_2\xi_3 + 2\mathrm{Re}(x_1x_2x_3) - \xi_1x_1\overline{x}_1 - \xi_2x_2\overline{x}_2 - \xi_3x_3\overline{x}_3 \\
&= \det X,
\end{aligned}$$

and it is clear that $(\phi(\theta)X, \phi(\theta)Y) = (X, Y), X, Y \in \mathfrak{J}^C$ and $\phi(\theta)E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3$. Hence we see that $\phi(\theta) \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}}$. Moreover, from $e_i a = \overline{a}e_i, i = 2, \ldots, 7, a \in U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$, we have that $\phi(\theta)F_1(e_i) = F_1(e_i), i = 2, \ldots, 7$, that is, $\phi(\theta) \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$. Needless to say, ϕ is a homomorphism. We shall show that ϕ is surjection. Let $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)}$. Here, set

$$(\mathfrak{J}^C)_k = \{F_k(x) \,|\, x \in \mathfrak{C}^C\} = \{X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,|\, 2E_{k+1} \circ X = 2E_{k+2} \circ X = X\}, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where the indices are considered as mod 3. Then from $\alpha E_i = E_i$, we have $\alpha X \in (\mathfrak{J}^C)_k$ for $X \in (\mathfrak{J}^C)_k$, and so α induces C-isomorphisms

$$\alpha : (\mathfrak{J}^C)_k \to (\mathfrak{J}^C)_k, \quad \alpha_k : \mathfrak{C}^C \to \mathfrak{C}^C$$

satisfying the conditions $\alpha F_k(x) = F_k(\alpha_k x), x \in \mathfrak{C}^C, k = 1, 2, 3$.

Applying α on $F_k(x) \circ F_k(y) = (x, y)(E_{k+1} + E_{k+2})$, that is, $\alpha F_k(x) \circ \alpha F_k(y) = (x, y)(E_{k+1} + E_{k+2})$, on the other hand we have

$$\alpha F_k(x) \circ \alpha F_k(y) = F_k(\alpha_k x) \circ F_k(\alpha_k y) = (\alpha_k x, \alpha_k y)(E_{k+1} + E_{k+2}).$$

Hence we have $(\alpha_k x, \alpha_k y) = (x, y), x, y \in \mathfrak{C}^C$, that is, $\alpha_k \in O(8, C), k = 1, 2, 3$. Moreover, applying α on $F_1(x) \circ F_2(y) = (1/2)F_3(\overline{xy})$, we have $(\alpha_1 x)(\alpha_2 y) = \overline{\alpha_3(\overline{xy})}$. Indeed, apply α on the left-hand side:

$$\alpha(F_1(x) \circ F_2(y)) = \alpha F_1(x) \circ \alpha F_2(y) = F_1(\alpha_1 x) \circ F_2(\alpha_2 y) = \frac{1}{2}F_3(\overline{(\alpha_1 x)(\alpha_2 y)}),$$

on the other hand, apply α on the right-hand side: $\alpha((1/2)F_3(\overline{xy})) = (1/2)F_3(\alpha_3(\overline{xy}))$. Hence we have $F_3(\overline{(\alpha_1 x)(\alpha_2 y)}) = F_3(\alpha_3(\overline{xy}))$, that is, $(\alpha_1 x)(\alpha_2 y) = \overline{\alpha_3(\overline{xy})}$.

Thus since $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in O(8, C)$ satisfy the condition $(\alpha_1 x)(\alpha_2 y) = \overline{\alpha_3(\overline{xy})}$, we see that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in SO(8, C)$ (see [11, Theotem 1.14.4]), and moreover from $\alpha F_1(e_i) = F_1(e_i)$ we have $\alpha_1 e_i = e_i, i = 2, \ldots, 7$. Hence since we can confirm that α_1 induces C-isomorphism of $\boldsymbol{C}^C \subset \mathfrak{C}^C$, there exists $\theta \in U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ such that $\alpha_1 x = \overline{\theta}x\overline{\theta}, x \in \mathfrak{C}^C$. For this θ, by the Principal triality we can set $\alpha_2 x = \theta x, \alpha_3 x = x\theta, x \in \mathfrak{C}^C$. The proof of surjection is completed. Finally, it is easy to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\phi = \{1\}$.

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(2,\ldots,7)} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C).$$

□

From Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. *The group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)}$ *is isomorphic* $U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$.

In particular, the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)}$ *is connected.*

We consider a group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$:

$$(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} = \left\{ \alpha \in F_4{}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1,2,3, \\ \alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 0,1,2,3,4 \end{array} \right\},$$

and we shall construct $Spin(3, C)$ in $F_4{}^C$.

Lemma 3.5. *The Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ *of the group* $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} &= \left\{ \delta \in \mathfrak{f}_4{}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \delta E_i = 0, i = 1,2,3, \\ \delta F_1(e_k) = 0, k = 0,1,2,3,4 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{\delta = d_{56}G_{56} + d_{57}G_{57} + d_{67}G_{67} \,|\, d_{kl} \in C\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C((\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}) = 3$.

Proof. By doing simple computation, this lemma is proved easily (As for G_{ij}, see [11, Section 1.3]). □

We define a 3-dimensional C-vector subspace $(V^C)^3$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(V^C)^3 &= \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} E_1 \circ X = 0, (E_2, X) = (E_3, X) = 0, \\ (F_1(e_k), X) = 0, k = 0,1,2,3,4 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{X = F_1(t) \,|\, t = t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, t_k \in C\}\end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(X, X) = 2(t_5{}^2 + t_6{}^2 + t_7{}^2)$. Obviously, the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ acts on $(V^C)^3$.

Proposition 3.6. *The homogeneous space* $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}/U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ *is homeomorphic to the complex sphere* $(S^C)^2$: $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}/U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C) \simeq (S^C)^2$.

In particular, the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ *is connected.*

Proof. We define a 2-dimensional complex sphere $(S^C)^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(S^C)^2 &= \{X \in (V^C)^3 \,|\, (X, X) = 2\} \\ &= \{X = F_1(t) \,|\, t = t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, t_5{}^2 + t_6{}^2 + t_7{}^2 = 1, t_k \in C\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ acts on $(S^C)^2$, obviously. We shall show that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any element $F_1(t) \in (S^C)^2$ can be transformed to $F_1(e_5) \in (S^C)^2$.

Now, for a given $X = F_1(t) \in (S^C)^2$, we choose $s_0 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le s_0 \le \pi$ such that $\tan s_0 = \mathrm{Re}(t_6)/\mathrm{Re}(t_5)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_5) = 0$, let $s_0 = \pi/2$).
Operate $g_{56}(s_0) := \exp(s_0G_{56}) \in ((F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)})_0$ on $X = F_1(t)$ (Lemma 3.5), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}g_{56}(s_0)X &= g_{56}(s_0)F_1(t) \\ &= F_1(((\cos s_0)t_5 + (\sin s_0)t_6)e_5 + ((\cos s_0)t_6 - (\sin s_0)t_5)e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(((\cos s_0)t_5 + (\sin s_0)t_6)e_5 + i((\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_6) - (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_5))e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(t_5^{(1)}e_5 + ir_6e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X^{(1)},\end{aligned}$$

where $t_5^{(1)} := (\cos s_0)t_5 + (\sin s_0)t_6 \in C, r_6 := (\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_6) - (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_5) \in \boldsymbol{R}$.
Moreover, we choose $s_1 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le s_1 \le \pi$ such that $\tan s_1 = \mathrm{Re}(t_7)/\mathrm{Re}(t_5^{(1)})$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_5^{(1)}) = 0$, let $s_1 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{57}(s_1) := \exp(s_1G_{57}) \in (F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ on X' (Lemma 3.5), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}g_{57}(s_1)X^{(1)} &= g_{57}(s_1)F_1((t_5^{(1)}e_5 + ir_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(((\cos s_1)t_5^{(1)} + (\sin s_1)t_7)e_5 + ir_6e_6 + ((\cos s_1)t_7 - (\sin s_1)t_5^{(1)})e_7) \\ &= F_1(((\cos s_1)t_5^{(1)} + (\sin s_1)t_7)e_5 + ir_6e_6 + i((\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_7) - (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_5^{(1)}))e_7) \\ &= F_1(t_5^{(2)}e_5 + ir_6e_6 + ir_7e_7) =: X^{(2)},\end{aligned}$$

where $t_5^{(2)} := (\cos s_1)t_5^{(1)} + (\sin s_1)t_7 \in C, r_7 := (\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_7) - (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_5^{(1)}) \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Additionally, we choose $s_2 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \leq s_2 \leq \pi$ such that $\tan s_2 = r_7/r_6$ (if $r_6 = 0$, let $s_2 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{67}(s_2) := \exp(s_2 G_{67}) \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ on $X^{(2)}$ (Lemma 3.5), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{67}(s_2)X^{(2)} &= g_{67}(s_2)F_1(t_5^{(2)}e_5 + ir_6e_6 + ir_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(t_5^{(2)}e_5 + i((\cos s_2)r_6 + (\sin s_2)r_7)e_6 + i((\cos s_2)r_7 - (\sin s_2)r_6)e_7) \\ &= F_1(t_5^{(2)}e_5 + ir_6^{(1)}e_6) =: X^{(3)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_6^{(1)} := (\cos s_2)r_6 + (\sin s_2)r_7 \in \boldsymbol{R}$.

Here, note that it follows from $X^{(3)} = F_1(t_5^{(2)}e_5 + ir_6^{(1)}e_6) \in (S^C)^2$ $((t_5^{(2)})^2 + (ir_6^{(1)})^2 = 1)$, that is, $(t_5^{(2)})^2 = 1 + (r_6^{(1)})^2, t_5^{(2)} \in C, r_6^{(1)} \in \boldsymbol{R}$ that we have $t_5^{(2)} \in \boldsymbol{R} - \{0\}$ and $-1 < r_6^{(1)}/t_5^{(2)} < 1$. So, we can choose $s_3 \in \boldsymbol{R}$ such that $\tan(is_3) = ir_6^{(1)}/t_5^{(2)} (\in i\boldsymbol{R})$. Indeed, because of $\tan(is_3) = -i\,(1 - 2/(e^{-2s_3} + 1))\,(-1 < 1 - 2/(e^{-2s_3} + 1) < 1)$, together with $-1 < r_6^{(1)}/t_5^{(2)} < 1$, we can choose $s_3 \in \boldsymbol{R}$. As in the first case above, operate $g_{56}(is_3)$ on $X^{(3)}$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{56}(is_3)X^{(3)} &= g_{56}(is_3)F_1(t_5^{(2)}e_5 + ir_6^{(1)}e_6) \\ &= F_1((\cos(is_3)t_5^{(2)} + \sin(is_3)(ir_6^{(1)}))e_5 + (\cos(is_3)(ir_6^{(1)}) - \sin(is_3)t_5^{(2)})e_6) \\ &= F_1((\cos(is_3)t_5^{(2)} + \sin(is_3)(ir_6^{(1)}))e_5) \\ &= F_1(t_5^{(3)}e_5), \end{aligned}$$

where $t_5^{(3)} := \cos(is_3)t_5^{(2)} + \sin(is_3)(ir_6^{(1)}) \in C$.

Hence, from $F_1(t_5^{(3)}e_5) \in (S^C)^2$ we have that $t_5^{(3)} = 1$ or $t_5^{(3)} = -1$. In the latter case, again operate $g_{57}(\pi)$ on $F_1(-e_5)$, then we have that $g_{57}(\pi)F_1(-e_5) = F_1(e_5)$.
This shows the transitivity of action to $(S^C)^2$ by the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$. The isotropy subgroup of the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ at $F_1(e_5)$ is $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,5)} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ (Proposition 3.4). Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}/U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C) \simeq (S^C)^2.$$

Therefore we see that the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ is connected. □

Theorem 3.7. *The group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(3, C)$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} \cong Spin(3, C)$.*

Proof. Let $O(3, C) = O((V^C)^3) = \{\beta \in \mathrm{Iso}_C((V^C)^3) \,|\, (\beta X, \beta Y) = (X, Y)\}$. We consider the restriction $\beta = \alpha \,\big|_{(V^C)^3}$ of $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ to $(V^C)^3$, then we have $\beta \in O(3, C)$. Hence we can define a homomorphism $p : ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} \to O(3, C) = O((V^C)^3)$ by

$$p(\alpha) = \alpha \,\big|_{(V^C)^3}.$$

Since the mapping p is continuous and the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ is connected (Proposition 3.6), the mapping p induces a homomorphism $p : ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} \to SO(3, C) = SO((V^C)^3)$. It is not difficult to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Indeed, Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}\, p$. Then, since $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} \subset ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}} \cong Spin(8, C)$, we can set $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ (Theorem 3.1). Moreover, from $\alpha F_1(e_i) = F_1(e_i), i = 0, \ldots, 4$ and $\alpha \,\big|_{(V^C)^3} = 1$, we have $\alpha_1 x = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{C}^C$, that is, $\alpha_1 = 1$. Hence we have that

$$\alpha = (1, 1, 1) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \alpha = (1, -1, -1) = \sigma,$$

that is, $\mathrm{Ker}\, p \subset \{1, \sigma\}$ and vice versa. Thus we obtain $\mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{1, \sigma\}$. From Lemma 3.5, we have that

$$\dim_C(({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)}) = 3 = \dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(3, C)),$$

and in addition to this, $SO(3, C)$ is connected and $\mathrm{Ker}\, p$ is discrete. Hence p is surjection. Thus we have the isomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,4)}/\boldsymbol{Z}_2 \cong SO(3, C).$$

Therefore the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,4)}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(3, C)$ as the universal covering group of $SO(3, C)$, that is, $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,4)} \cong Spin(3, C)$. □

Continuously, we shall construct $Spin(4, C)$ in ${F_4}^C$.

We consider a group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$:

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)} = \left\{ \alpha \in {F_4}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.8. *The Lie algebra* $({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ *of the group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} ({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)} &= \{ \delta \in {\mathfrak{f}_4}^C \,|\, \delta E_i = 0, i = 1, 2, 3,\ \delta F_1(e_k) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \} \\ &= \{ \delta = d_{45}G_{45} + d_{46}G_{46} + d_{47}G_{47} + d_{56}G_{56} + d_{57}G_{57} + d_{67}G_{67} \,|\, d_{kl} \in C \}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C(({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}) = 6$.

Proof. By doing simple computation, this lemma is proved easily(As for G_{ij}, see [11, Section 1.3]). □

We define a 4-dimensional C-vector subspace $(V^C)^4$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (V^C)^4 &= \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} E_1 \circ X = 0, (E_2, X) = (E_3, X) = 0, \\ (F_1(e_k), X) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{ X = F_1(t) \,|\, t = t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, t_k \in C \} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(X, X) = 2({t_4}^2 + {t_5}^2 + {t_6}^2 + {t_7}^2)$. Obviously, the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ acts on $(V^C)^4$.

Proposition 3.9. *The homogeneous space* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}/Spin(3, C)$ *is homeomorphic to the complex sphere* $(S^C)^3$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}/Spin(3, C) \simeq (S^C)^3$.

In particular, the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ *is connected.*

Proof. We define a 3-dimensional complex sphere $(S^C)^3$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (S^C)^3 &= \{ X \in (V^C)^3 \,|\, (X, X) = 2 \} \\ &= \{ X = F_1(t) \,|\, t = t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, {t_4}^2 + {t_5}^2 + {t_6}^2 + {t_7}^2 = 1, t_k \in C \} \end{aligned}$$

Then the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ acts on $(S^C)^3$, obviously. We shall show that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any element $F_1(t) \in (S^C)^5$ can be transformed to $F_1(e_4) \in (S^C)^3$.

Now, for a given $X = F_1(t) \in (S^C)^3$, we choose $s_0 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le s_0 \le \pi$ such that $\tan s_0 = -\mathrm{Re}(t_4)/\mathrm{Re}(t_5)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_5) = 0$, let $s_0 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{45}(s_0) := \exp(s_0G_{45}) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3}, F_1(0,\ldots,3)})_0$ on $X = F_1(t)$ (Lemma 3.8), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{45}(s_0)X &= g_{45}(s_0)F_1(t) \\ &= F_1(((\cos s_0)t_4 + (\sin s_0)t_5)e_4 + ((\cos s_0)t_5 - (\sin s_0)t_4)e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(i((\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_4) + (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_5))e_4 + ((\cos s_0)t_5 - (\sin s_0)t_4)e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir^{(1)}_4 e_4 + t^{(1)}_5 e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r^{(1)}_4 := (\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_4) + (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_5) \in \boldsymbol{R}$, $t^{(1)}_5 := (\cos s_0)t_5 - (\sin s_0)t_4 \in C$.

Moreover, we choose $s_1 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \leq s_1 \leq \pi$ such that $\tan s_1 = -\mathrm{Re}(t_5)/\mathrm{Re}(t_6)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_6) = 0$, let $s_1 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{56}(s_1) := \exp(s_1 G_{56}) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)})_0$ on $X^{(1)}$ (Lemma 3.8), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{56}(s_1)X^{(1)} &= g_{34}(s_1)F_1(ir_4^{(1)}e_4 + t_5^{(1)}e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\
&= F_1(ir_4^{(1)}e_4 + ((\cos s_1)t_5 + (\sin s_1)t_6)e_5 + ((\cos s_1)t_6 - (\sin s_1)t_5)e_6 + t_7e_7) \\
&= F_1(ir_4^{(1)}e_4 + i((\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_5) + (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_6))e_5 + ((\cos s_1)t_6 - (\sin s_1)t_5)e_6 \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + t_7e_7) \\
&= F_1(ir_4^{(1)}e_4 + ir_5^{(1)}e_5 + t_6^{(1)}e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X^{(2)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $r_5^{(1)} := (\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_5) + (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_6) \in \boldsymbol{R}, t_6^{(1)} := (\cos s_1)t_6 - (\sin s_1)t_5 \in C$. Additionally, we choose $s_2 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \leq s_2 \leq \pi$ such that $\tan s_2 = -(r_4^{(1)})/(r_5^{(1)})$ (if $r_5^{(1)} = 0$, let $s_2 = \pi/2$). Again, operate $g_{45}(s_2) = \exp(s_2 G_{45}) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)})_0$ on $X^{(2)}$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{45}(s_2)X^{(2)} &= g_{45}(s_2)F_1(ir_4^{(1)}e_4 + ir_5^{(1)}e_5 + t_6^{(1)}e_6 + t_7e_7) \\
&= F_1(i((\cos s_2)r_4^{(1)} + (\sin s_2)r_5^{(1)})e_4 + i((\cos s_2)r_5^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_4^{(1)})e_5 + t_6^{(1)}e_6 \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + t_7e_7) \\
&= F_1(i((\cos s_2)r_5^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_4^{(1)})e_5 + t_6^{(1)}e_6 + t_7e_7) \\
&= F_1(t_5^{(2)}e_5 + t_6^{(1)}e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X' \in (S^C)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $t_5^{(2)} := i((\cos s_2)r_5^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_4^{(1)}) \in C$.

Since $Spin(3, C)\,(\cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} \subset ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)})$ acts transitively on $(S^C)^2$ (Proposition 3.6), there exists $\alpha \in Spin(3, C)$ such that

$$\alpha X' = F_1(e_5), X' \in (S^C)^2.$$

Again operate $g_{45}(\pi/2) \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ on $F_1(e_5)$, then we have that

$$g_{45}\Bigl(\frac{\pi}{2}\Bigr)F_1(e_5) = F_1(e_4).$$

This shows the transitivity of action to $(S^C)^3$ by the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$. The isotropy subgroup of the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ at $F_1(e_4)$ is $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,4)} \cong Spin(3, C)$ (Theorem 3.7). Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)}/Spin(3, C) \simeq (S^C)^3.$$

Therefore we see that the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ is connected. □

Theorem 3.10. *The group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)}$ *is isomorphic to* $Spin(4, C)$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)} \cong Spin(4, C)$.

Proof. Since we can prove this theorem as in Theorem 3.7 , this proof is omitted. □

Continuously, we shall construct $Spin(5, C)$ in ${F_4}^C$.

We consider a group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$:

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)} = \left\{ \alpha \in {F_4}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 0, 1, 2 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.11. *The Lie algebra* $({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ *of the group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)} &= \{\delta \in {\mathfrak{f}_4}^C \,|\, \delta E_i = 0, i = 1, 2, 3,\ \delta F_1(e_k) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2\} \\
&= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta = d_{34}G_{34} + d_{35}G_{35} + d_{36}G_{36} + d_{37}G_{37} + d_{45}G_{45} \\ \quad + d_{46}G_{46} + d_{47}G_{47} + d_{56}G_{56} + d_{57}G_{57} + d_{67}G_{67} \end{array} \;\middle|\; d_{kl} \in C \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C(({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}) = 10$.

Proof. By doing simple computation, this lemma is proved easily (As for G_{ij}, see [11, Section 1.3]). ◻

We define a 5-dimensional C-vector subspace $(V^C)^5$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(V^C)^5 &= \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} E_1 \circ X = 0, (E_2, X) = (E_3, X) = 0, \\ (F_1(e_k), X) = 0, k = 0, 1, 2 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{ X = F_1(t) \,|\, t = t_3e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, t_k \in C \}\end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(X, X) = 2({t_3}^2 + {t_4}^2 + {t_5}^2 + {t_6}^2 + {t_7}^2)$. Obviously, the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ acts on $(V^C)^5$.

Proposition 3.12. *The homogeneous space $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}/Spin(4, C)$ is homeomorphic to the complex sphere $(S^C)^4$: $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}/Spin(4, C) \simeq (S^C)^4$.*

In particular, the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ is connected.

Proof. We define a 4-dimensional complex sphere $(S^C)^4$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(S^C)^4 &= \{ X \in (V^C)^4 \,|\, (X, X) = 2 \} \\ &= \left\{ X = F_1(t) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} t = t_3e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, \\ {t_3}^2 + {t_4}^2 + {t_5}^2 + {t_6}^2 + {t_7}^2 = 1, t_k \in C \end{array} \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ acts on $(S^C)^4$, obviously. We shall show that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any element $F_1(t) \in (S^C)^4$ can be transformed to $F_1(e_3) \in (S^C)^4$.

Now, for a given $X = F_1(t) \in (S^C)^4$, we choose $s_0 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \leq s_0 \leq \pi$ such that $\tan s_0 = -\mathrm{Re}(t_3)/\mathrm{Re}(t_4)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_4) = 0$, let $\boldsymbol{s}_0 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{34}(s_0) := \exp(s_0G_{34}) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)})_0$ on $X = F_1(t)$ (Lemma 3.11), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}g_{34}(s_0)X &= g_{34}(s_0)F_1(t) \\ &= F_1(((\cos s_0)t_3 + (\sin s_0)t_4)e_3 + ((\cos s_0)t_4 - (\sin s_0)t_3)e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(i((\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_3) + (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_4))e_3 + ((\cos s_0)t_4 - (\sin s_0)t_3)e_4 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + t_4^{(1)}e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X^{(1)},\end{aligned}$$

where $r_3^{(1)} := (\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_3) + (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_4) \in \boldsymbol{R}, t_4^{(1)} := (\cos s_0)t_4 - (\sin s_0)t_3 \in C$. Moreover, we choose $s_1 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \leq s_1 \leq \pi$ such that $\tan s_1 = -\mathrm{Re}(t_4)/\mathrm{Re}(t_5)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_5) = 0$, let $s_1 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{45}(s_1) := \exp(s_1G_{45}) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)})_0$ on $X^{(1)}$ (Lemma 3.11), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}g_{45}(s_1)X^{(1)} &= g_{45}(s_1)F_1(ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + t_4^{(1)}e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + ((\cos s_1)t_4 + (\sin s_1)t_5)e_4 + ((\cos s_1)t_5 - (\sin s_1)t_4)e_5 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + i((\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_4) + (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_5))e_4 + ((\cos s_1)t_5 - (\sin s_1)t_4)e_5 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + ir_4^{(1)}e_4 + t_5^{(1)}e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) := X^{(2)},\end{aligned}$$

where $r_4^{(1)} := (\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_4) + (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_5) \in \boldsymbol{R}, t_5^{(1)} := (\cos s_1)t_5 - (\sin s_1)t_4 \in C$. Additionally, we choose $s_2 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \leq s_2 \leq \pi$ such that $\tan s_2 = -(r_3^{(1)})/(r_4^{(1)})$ (if $r_4^{(1)} = 0$, let $s_2 = \pi/2$). Again, operate $g_{34}(s_2) = \exp(s_2G_{34}) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)})_0$ on $X^{(2)}$ (Lemma

3.11), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{34}(s_2)X^{(2)} &= g_{34}(s_2)F_1(ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + ir_4^{(1)}e_4 + t_5^{(1)}e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(i((\cos s_2)r_3^{(1)} + (\sin s_2)r_4^{(1)})e_3 + i((\cos s_2)r_4^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_3^{(1)})e_4 + t_5e_5 \\ &\qquad\qquad + t_5e_5 + +t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(i((\cos s_2)r_4^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_3^{(1)})e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(t_4^{(2)}e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X' \in (S^C)^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_4^{(2)} := i((\cos s_2)r_4^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_3^{(1)}) \in C$.

Since $Spin(4,C)\,(\cong (F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)} \subset (F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,2)})$ acts transitively on $(S^C)^3$ (Proposition 3.9), there exists $\alpha \in Spin(4,C)$ such that

$$\alpha X' = F_1(e_4), X' \in (S^C)^3.$$

Again operate $g_{34}(\pi/2) \in (F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ on $F_1(e_4)$, then we have that

$$g_{34}\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)(F_1(e_4)) = F_1(e_3).$$

This shows the transitivity of action to $(S^C)^4$ by the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$. The isotropy subgroup of the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ at $F_1(e_3)$ is $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\ldots,3)} \cong Spin(4,C)$ (Theorem 3.10). Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}/Spin(4,C) \simeq (S^C)^4.$$

Therefore we see that the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ is connected. □

Theorem 3.13. *The group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(5,C)$: $(F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,1,2)} \cong Spin(5,C)$.*

Proof. Since we can also prove this theorem as in Theorem 3.7, this proof is omitted. □

Now, we determine the structure of the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ as the aim of this section .

Lemma 3.14. *The Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ of the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} &= \{\delta \in \mathfrak{f}_4{}^C \,|\, \delta E_i = 0, i = 1,2,3, \delta F_1(e_k) = 0, k = 0,1\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta = d_{23}G_{23} + d_{24}G_{24} + d_{25}G_{25} + d_{26}G_{26} + d_{27}G_{27} \\ \quad +d_{34}G_{34} + d_{35}G_{35} + d_{36}G_{36} + d_{37}G_{37} + d_{45}G_{45} \\ \quad +d_{46}G_{46} + d_{47}G_{47} + d_{56}G_{56} + d_{57}G_{57} + d_{67}G_{67} \end{array} \middle|\, d_{kl} \in C \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C((\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}) = 15$.

Proof. By doing simple computation, this lemma is proved easily (As for G_{ij}, see [11, Section 1.3]). □

We define a 6-dimensional C-vector subspace $(V^C)^6$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (V^C)^6 &= \left\{ X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} E_1 \circ X = 0, (E_2, X) = (E_3, X) = 0, \\ (F_1(e_k), X) = 0, k = 0, 1 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \{X = F_1(t) \,|\, t = t_2e_2 + t_3e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, t_k \in C\} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(X, X) = 2({t_2}^2 + {t_3}^2 + {t_4}^2 + {t_5}^2 + {t_6}^2 + {t_7}^2)$. The group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ acts on $(V^C)^6$, obviously.

Proposition 3.15. *The homogeneous space $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}/Spin(5,C)$ is homeomorphic to the complex sphere $(S^C)^5$: $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}/Spin(5,C) \simeq (S^C)^5$.*

In particular, the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ is connected.

Proof. We define a 5-dimensional complex sphere $(S^C)^5$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (S^C)^5 &= \{X \in (V^C)^6 \,|\, (X,X) = 2\} \\ &= \left\{ X = F_1(t) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} t = t_2e_2 + t_3e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, \\ t_2{}^2 + t_3{}^2 + t_4{}^2 + t_5{}^2 + t_6{}^2 + t_7{}^2 = 1, t_k \in C \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ acts on $(S^C)^5$, obviously. We shall show that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any element $F_1(t) \in (S^C)^5$ can be transformed to $F_1(e_2) \in (S^C)^5$.

Now, for a given $X = F_1(t) \in (S^C)^5$, we choose $s_0 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le s_0 \le \pi$ such that $\tan s_0 = -\mathrm{Re}(t_2)/\mathrm{Re}(t_3)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_3) = 0$, let $s_0 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{23}(s_0) := \exp(s_0G_{23}) \in (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ on $X = F_1(t)$ (Lemma 3.14), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{23}(s_0)X &= g_{23}(s_0)F_1(t) \\ &= F_1(((\cos s_0)t_2 + (\sin s_0)t_3)e_2 + ((\cos s_0)t_3 - (\sin s_0)t_2)e_3 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(i((\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_2) + (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_3))e_2 + ((\cos s_0)t_3 - (\sin s_0)t_2)e_3 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_2^{(1)}e_2 + t_3^{(1)}e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_2^{(1)} := (\cos s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_2) + (\sin s_0)\mathrm{Im}(t_3) \in \boldsymbol{R}, t_3^{(1)} := (\cos s_0)t_3 - (\sin s_0)t_2 \in C$. Moreover, we choose $s_1 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le s_1 \le \pi$ such that $\tan s_1 = -\mathrm{Re}(t_3)/\mathrm{Re}(t_4)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(t_4) = 0$, let $s_1 = \pi/2$). Operate $g_{34}(s_1) := \exp(s_1G_{34}) \in ((F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1})_0$ on $X^{(1)}$ (Lemma 3.14), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{34}(s_1)X^{(1)} &= g_{34}(s_1)F_1(ir_2^{(1)}e_2 + t_3^{(1)}e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_2^{(1)}e_2 + ((\cos s_1)t_3 + (\sin s_1)t_4)e_3 + ((\cos s_1)t_4 - (\sin s_1)t_3)e_4 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_2^{(1)}e_2 + i((\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_3) + (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_4))e_3 + ((\cos s_1)t_4 - (\sin s_1)t_3)e_4 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_2^{(1)}e_2 + ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + t_4^{(1)}e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X^{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3^{(1)} := (\cos s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_3) + (\sin s_1)\mathrm{Im}(t_4) \in \boldsymbol{R}, t_4^{(1)} := (\cos s_1)t_4 - (\sin s_1)t_3 \in C$. Additionally, we choose $s_2 \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le s_2 \le \pi$ such that $\tan s_2 = -(r_2^{(1)})/(r_3^{(1)})$ (if $r_3^{(1)} = 0$, let $s_2 = \pi/2$). Again, operate $g_{23}(s_2) = \exp(s_2G_{23}) \in ((F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1})_0$ on $X^{(2)}$, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{23}(s_2)X^{(2)} &= g_{23}(s_2)F_1(ir_2^{(1)}e_2 + ir_3^{(1)}e_3 + t_4^{(1)}e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(i((\cos s_2)r_2^{(1)} + (\sin s_2)r_3^{(1)})e_2 + i((\cos s_2)r_3^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_2^{(1)})e_3 \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad +t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(i((\cos s_2)r_3^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_2^{(1)})e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) \\ &= F_1(ir_3^{(2)}e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7) =: X' \in (S^C)^4, \end{aligned}$$

where $r_3^{(2)} := (\cos s_2)r_3^{(1)} - (\sin s_2)r_2^{(1)} \in \boldsymbol{R}$.

Since $Spin(5,C)(\cong (F_4{}^C)_{E_{1,2,3},F_1(0,\dots,2)} \subset (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1})$ acts transitively on $(S^C)^4$ (Proposition 3.12), there exists $\alpha \in Spin(5,C)$ such that

$$\alpha X' = F_1(e_3), X' \in (S^C)^4.$$

Again operate $g_{23}(\pi/2) \in ((F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1})_0$ on $F_1(e_3)$, then we have that

$$g_{23}\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)F_1(e_3) = F_1(e_2).$$

This shows the transitivity of action to $(S^C)^5$ by the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$. The isotropy subgroup of the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ at $F_1(e_2)$ is $Spin(5,C)$ (Theorem 3.13). Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}/Spin(5,C) \simeq (S^C)^5.$$

Therefore we see that the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ is connected. □

Theorem 3.16. *The group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ *is isomorphic to* $Spin(6,C)$*:* $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong Spin(6,C)$*.*

Proof. This proof is proved by an argument similar to the proof in Theorem 3.7, however we write as possible in detail. Let $O(6,C) = O((V^C)^6) = \{\beta \in \mathrm{Iso}_C((V^C)^6) \,|\, (\beta X, \beta Y) = (X,Y)\}$. We consider the restriction $\beta = \alpha\,\big|_{(V^C)^6}$ of $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ to $(V^C)^6$, then we have $\beta \in O(6,C)$. Hence we can define a homomorphism $p : ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \to O(6,C) = O((V^C)^6)$ by

$$p(\alpha) = \alpha\,\big|_{(V^C)^6}\,.$$

Since the mapping p is continuous and the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ is connected (Proposition 3.15), the mapping p induces a homomorphism $p : ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \to SO(6,C) = SO((V^C)^6)$. It is easy to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\,p = \{1,\sigma\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Indeed, Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}\,p$. Then, since $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \subset ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3} \cong Spin(8,C)$ (Theorem 3.1), we can set $\alpha = (\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3)$. Moreover, from $\alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 0,2$ and $\alpha\,\big|_{(V^C)^6} = 1$, we have $\alpha_1 x = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{C}^C$, that is, $\alpha_1 = 1$. Hence we have that

$$\alpha = (1,1,1) \qquad \text{or} \qquad \alpha = (1,-1,-1) = \sigma,$$

that is, $\mathrm{Ker}\,p \subset \{1,\sigma\}$ and vice versa. Thus we obtain $\mathrm{Ker}\,p = \{1,\sigma\}$. From Lemma 3.11, we have that $\dim_C(({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}) = 15 = \dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(6,C))$, and in addition to this, since $SO(6,C)$ is connected and $\mathrm{Ker}\,p$ is discrete, p is surjection. Thus we have the isomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}/\boldsymbol{Z}_2 \cong SO(6,C).$$

Therefore the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ is isomorphic $Spin(6,C)$ as the universal covering group of $SO(6,C)$, that is, $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong Spin(6,C)$. □

Here, we make a summary of the results as the low dimensional spinor groups which were constructed in this section. It is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{l}
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong Spin(6,C) \\
\qquad\quad \cup \\
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1,2} \cong Spin(5,C) \\
\qquad\quad \cup \\
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,\ldots,3} \cong Spin(4,C) \\
\qquad\quad \cup \\
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,\ldots,4} \cong Spin(3,C) \\
\qquad\quad \cup \\
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,\ldots,5} \cong Spin(2,C) \cong U(1,\boldsymbol{C}^C).
\end{array}$$

In the last of this section, we prove the following important lemma.

Lemma 3.17. *The group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong Spin(6,C)$ *is the subgroup of the group* $({F_4}^C)^{\sigma'_4} = \{\alpha \in {F_4}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4\alpha = \alpha\sigma'_4\}$*:* $Spin(6,C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \subset ({F_4}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$*.*

Proof. We consider the following complex eigenspaces of σ'_4 in $\mathfrak{J}^C$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{J}^C)_{\sigma'_4} &= \{X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4 X = X\} (\subset (\mathfrak{J}^C)_\sigma) \\
&= \{X = \xi_1 E_1 + \xi_2 E_2 + \xi_3 E_3 + F_1(x_1) \,|\, \xi_k \in C, x_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C\}, \\
(\mathfrak{J}^C)_{-\sigma'_4} &= \{X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4 X = -X\} (= \{F_1({x_1}^\perp)\} \oplus (\mathfrak{J}^C)_{-\sigma}) \\
&= \{X = F_1({x_1}^\perp) + F_2(x_2) + F_3(x_3)) \,|\, {x_1}^\perp \in (\boldsymbol{C}^C)^\perp \text{ in } \mathfrak{C}^C, x_k \in \mathfrak{C}^C\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(\mathfrak{J}^C)_\sigma, (\mathfrak{J}^C)_{-\sigma}$ are the complex eigenspaces of the C-linear transformation σ in $\mathfrak{J}^C$.

Now, let $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$, and set $X = X_1 + X_2 \in (\mathfrak{J}^C)_{\sigma'_4} \oplus (\mathfrak{J}^C)_{-\sigma'_4} = \mathfrak{J}^C$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma'_4 \alpha X &= \sigma'_4 \alpha (X_1 + X_2) = \sigma'_4 \alpha X_1 + \sigma'_4 \alpha X_2 \\
&= \sigma'_4 X_1 + \sigma'_4 \alpha (F_1({x_1}^\perp) + F_2(x_2) + F_3(x_3)) \\
&= X_1 + \sigma'_4 \alpha F_1({x_1}^\perp) + \sigma'_4 (F_2({x_2}') + F_3({x_3}')) \ (\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1} = ({F_4}^C)^\sigma) \\
&= X_1 + \sigma'_4 \alpha F_1({x_1}^\perp) - (F_2({x_2}') + F_3({x_3}')) \\
&= X_1 + \sigma'_4 F_1({x_1}^\perp) - (F_2({x_2}') + F_3({x_3}')) \\
&= X_1 - F_1({x_1}^\perp) - F_2({x_2}') - F_3({x_3}'), \\
\alpha \sigma'_4 X &= \alpha \sigma'_4 (X_1 + X_2) = \alpha (X_1 - X_2) = X_1 - \alpha X_2 \\
&= X_1 - \alpha (F_1({x_1}^\perp) + F_2(x_2) + F_3(x_3)) \\
&= X_1 - \alpha F_1({x_1}^\perp) - (F_2({x_2}') + F_3({x_3}')) \\
&= X_1 - F_1({x_1}^\perp) - F_2({x_2}') - F_3({x_3}').
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we see $\sigma'_4 \alpha = \alpha \sigma'_4$, that is, $Spin(6, C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \subset ({F_4}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$. □

4. THE GROUPS $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ AND $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$

The aim of this section is to show the connectedness of the group $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ after determining the structure of the group $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$.

Now, we define subgroups $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ and $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ of ${E_7}^C$ respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}
({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} &= \{\alpha \in {E_7}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4 \alpha = \alpha \sigma'_4\}, \\
({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)} &= \{\alpha \in ({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \,|\, \varPhi_D \alpha = \alpha \varPhi_D \text{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C)\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varPhi_D = (D, 0, 0, 0) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C, D \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C) \cong ({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$. Hereafter, we often denote D above by D_6.

Lemma 4.1. *We have the following.*

(1) *The Lie algebra $({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ of the group $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} &= \{\varPhi \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4 \varPhi = \varPhi \sigma'_4\} \\
&= \left\{ \varPhi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}
\phi = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_6 \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l} + \tilde{A}_1(a) \\ + (\tau_1 E_1 + \tau_2 E_2 + \tau E_3 + F_1(t_1))^\sim \end{array} \\
\quad D_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(2, C), D_6 \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C), a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \tau_k \in C, \\
\quad \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0, t_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\
A = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 & y_1 \\ 0 & \overline{y}_1 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\quad \xi_k \in C, x_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \eta_k \in C, y_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\
\nu \in C
\end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C(({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}) = ((1+15)+2+(2+2))+(3+2)\times 2+1 = 33.$

(2) *The Lie algebra* $({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *of the group* $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *is given by*

$$
\begin{aligned}
({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} &= \{\varPhi \in ({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \,|\, [\varPhi, \varPhi_D] = 0 \textit{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6,C)\} \\
&= \left\{ \varPhi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}
\phi = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l} +\tilde{A}_1(a) \\ +(\tau_1 E_1 + \tau_2 E_2 + \tau E_3 + F_1(t_1))^{\sim} \end{array} \\
\quad D_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(2,C), a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \tau_k \in C, \\
\quad \tau_1+\tau_2+\tau_3 = 0, t_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\
A = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 & y_1 \\ 0 & \overline{y}_1 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\quad \xi_k \in C, x_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \eta_k \in C, y_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\
\nu \in C
\end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $\dim_C(({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}) = (1+2+(2+2))+(3+2)\times 2+1 = 18.$

Proof. By doing simple computation, this lemma is proved easily. □

First, making some preparations, we shall determine the structure of the group $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$. Hereafter, we often use the following notations in $\mathfrak{P}^C$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\dot{X} = (X,0,0,0), \underset{\cdot}{Y} = (0,Y,0,0), \dot{\xi} = (0,0,\xi,0), \underset{\cdot}{\eta} = (0,0,0,\eta), \\
&\tilde{E}_1 = (0,E_1,0,1),\ \tilde{E}_{-1} = (0,-E_1,0,1),\ E_2\dot{+}E_3 = (E_2+E_3,0,0,0), \\
&E_2\dot{-}E_3 = (E_2-E_3,0,0,0),\ \dot{F}_1(e_k) = (F_1(e_k),0,0,0), k = 0,\ldots,7.
\end{aligned}
$$

We define C-linear transformations κ, μ of $\mathfrak{P}^C$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa(X,Y,\xi,\eta) &= (-\kappa_1 X, \kappa_1 Y, -\xi, \eta), \\
\mu(X,Y,\xi,\eta) &= (2E_1\times Y + \eta E_1, 2E_1 \times X + \xi E_1, (E_1,Y), (E_1,X)),
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively, where $\kappa_1 X = (E_1,X)E_1 - 4E_1\times(E_1\times X), X \in \mathfrak{J}^C$. The explicit forms of κ, μ are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\kappa(X,Y,\xi,\eta) &= \kappa(\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3 & \overline{x}_2 \\ \overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & y_3 & \overline{y}_2 \\ \overline{y}_3 & \eta_2 & y_1 \\ y_2 & \overline{y}_1 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \xi, \eta) \\
&= (\begin{pmatrix} -\xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\eta_2 & -y_1 \\ 0 & -\overline{y}_1 & -\eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, -\xi, \eta), \\
\mu(X,Y,\xi,\eta) &= (\begin{pmatrix} \eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_3 & -y_1 \\ 0 & -\overline{y}_1 & \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \xi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_3 & -x_1 \\ 0 & -\overline{x}_1 & \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \eta_1, \xi_1).
\end{aligned}
$$

By doing simple computation, we can easily confirm that $\kappa\sigma'_4 = \sigma'_4\kappa, \mu\sigma'_4 = \sigma'_4\mu$.

We define a group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ by

$$
(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} = \left\{ \alpha \in {E_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l}
\kappa\alpha = \alpha\kappa, \mu\alpha = \alpha\mu, \\
\alpha\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1, \alpha\tilde{E}_{-1} = \tilde{E}_{-1} \\
\alpha(E_2\dot{+}E_3) = E_2\dot{+}E_3, \\
\alpha(E_2\dot{-}E_3) = E_2\dot{-}E_3, \\
\alpha\dot{F}_1(e_k) = \dot{F}_1(e_k), k = 0,1
\end{array} \right\}.
$$

Proposition 4.2. *The group* $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ *is isomorphic to* $Spin(6,C)$: $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong Spin(6,C)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}$. Then from $\alpha(0,E_1,0,1) = (0,E_1,0,1)$ and $\alpha(0,-E_1,0,1) = (0,-E_1,0,1)$, we have that $\alpha(0,E_1,0,0) = (0,E_1,0,0)$, $\alpha(0,0,0,1) = (0,0,0,1)$. Hence we see that $\alpha(E_1,0,0,0) = (E_1,0,0,0)$, $\alpha(0,0,1,0) = (0,0,1,0)$. Indeed, it follows that

$$\alpha(E_1,0,0,0) = \alpha\mu(0,0,0,1) = \mu\alpha(0,0,0,1) = \mu(0,0,0,1) = (E_1,0,0,0),$$
$$\alpha(0,0,1,0) = \alpha\mu(0,E_1,0,0) = \mu\alpha(0,E_1,0,0) = \mu(0,E_1,0,0) = (0,0,1,0).$$

Thus from $\alpha\dot{1} = \dot{1}$ and $\alpha\underset{\cdot}{1} = \underset{\cdot}{1}$, we see $\alpha \in E_6{}^C$, moreover from $\alpha E_i = E_i, i = 1,2,3$, that is, $\alpha E = E$, we see $\alpha \in F_4{}^C$. Note that suppose $\alpha \in F_4{}^C$, α satisfies $\kappa\alpha = \alpha\kappa, \alpha\mu = \mu\alpha$, automatically. Hence we have $\alpha \in (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$, and vice versa. Thus we have

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} = (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 3.16 we have the required isomorphism

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong Spin(6,C).$$

□

First we shall construct one more $Spin(3,C)$ in $F_4{}^C$.

Here, we define a group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ by

$$(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} = \{\alpha \in F_4{}^C \,|\, \alpha E_1 = E_1, \alpha F_1(e_k) = F_1(e_k), k = 2,\ldots,7\},$$

moreover define a 3-dimensional C-vector subspace $(V_-^C)^3$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(V_-^C)^3 &= \{X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,|\, E_1 \circ X = 0, \mathrm{tr}(X) = 0, (F_1(e_k), X) = 0, k = 2,\ldots,7\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & x \\ 0 & \overline{x} & -\xi \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \xi \in C, x \in \boldsymbol{C}^C \right\}\end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(X,X) = 2(\xi^2 + \overline{x}x)$. Obviously, the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ acts on $(V_-^C)^3$.

Lemma 4.3. *The Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *of the group* $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} &= \left\{ \delta \in \mathfrak{f}_4{}^C \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \delta E_1 = 0, \\ \delta F_1(e_k) = 0, k = 2,\ldots,7 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \delta = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) + \tilde{A}_1(a) \,\middle|\, D_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(2,C), a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C((\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}) = 3$.

Proof. By doing simple computation, this lemma is proved easily. □

Proposition 4.4. *The homogeneous space* $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/U(1,\boldsymbol{C}^C)$ *is homeomorphic to the complex sphere* $(S_-^C)^2$: $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/U(1,\boldsymbol{C}^C) \simeq (S_-^C)^2$.

In particular, the group $(F_4{}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is connected.*

Proof. We define a 2-dimensional complex sphere $(S_-^C)^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(S_-^C)^2 &= \{X \in (V_-^C)^3 \,|\, (X,X) = 2\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & x \\ 0 & \overline{x} & -\xi \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \xi^2 + x\overline{x} = 1,\ \xi \in C, x \in \boldsymbol{C}^C \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ acts on $({S_{-}}^{C})^2$, obviously. We shall show that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any element $X \in ({S_{-}}^{C})^2$ can be transformed to $E_2 - E_3 \in ({S_{-}}^{C})^2$. Here, we prepare some element of $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. For $a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C$ such that $a\overline{a} \neq 0$, we define a C-linear transformation $\alpha(a)$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$, $\alpha(a)X(\xi, x) =: Y(\eta, y)$, by

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \xi_1 \\ \eta_2 &= \frac{\xi_2+\xi_3}{2} + \frac{\xi_2-\xi_3}{2}\cos 2\nu + \frac{(a, x_1)}{\nu}\sin 2\nu \\ \eta_3 &= \frac{\xi_2+\xi_3}{2} - \frac{\xi_2-\xi_3}{2}\cos 2\nu - \frac{(a, x_1)}{\nu}\sin 2\nu, \end{aligned}\right.$$

$$\left\{\begin{aligned} y_1 &= x_1 - \frac{(\xi_2-\xi_3)a}{2\nu}\sin 2\nu - \frac{2(a, x_1)a}{\nu^2}\sin^2 \nu \\ y_2 &= x_2 \cos\nu - \frac{\overline{x_3 a}}{\nu}\sin\nu \\ y_3 &= x_3 \cos|a| + \frac{\overline{a x_2}}{\nu}\sin\nu, \end{aligned}\right.$$

where $\nu \in C, \nu^2 = a\overline{a}$.
Then we see $\alpha(a) = \exp\tilde{A}(a) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ (Lemma 4.3).

Now, let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & x \\ 0 & \overline{x} & -\xi \end{pmatrix} \in ({S_{-}}^{C})^2$. We choose $a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C$ such that $(a, x) = 0$ and $a\overline{a} = (\pi/4)^2$. Operate $\alpha(a) \in (({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on X, then we have that

$$\alpha(a)X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x' \\ 0 & \overline{x}' & 0 \end{pmatrix} =: X',\ x'\overline{x}' = 1.$$

Moreover, using this x' above, operate $\alpha(\pi/4x')$ on X', then we have

$$\alpha\Big(\frac{\pi}{4}x'\Big)X' = E_2 - E_3.$$

This shows the transitivity of this action to $({S_{-}}^{C})^2$ by the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. The isotropy subgroup of $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ at $E_2 - E_3$ is the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2-E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} = ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2+E_3,E_2-E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} = ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ (Theorem 3.3).

Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C) \simeq ({S_{-}}^{C})^2.$$

Therefore we see that the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected. □

Theorem 4.5. *The group* $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is isomorphic to* $Spin(3, C)$*:*
$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(3, C)$*.*

Proof. Let $O(3, C) = O(({V_{-}}^{C})^3) = \{\beta \in \mathrm{Iso}_C(({V_{-}}^{C})^3) \,|\, (\beta X, \beta Y) = (X, Y)\}$. We consider the restriction $\beta = \alpha \,\big|_{({V_{-}}^{C})^3}$ of $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ to $({V_{-}}^{C})^3$, then we have $\beta \in O(3, C)$. Hence we can define a homomorphism $p : ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \to O(3, C) = O(({V_{-}}^{C})^3)$ by

$$p(\alpha) = \alpha \,\big|_{({V_{-}}^{C})^3} .$$

Since the mapping p is continuous and the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected, the mapping p induces a homomorphism $p : ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \to SO(3, C) = SO(({V_{-}}^{C})^3)$ by

$$p(\alpha) = \alpha|_{({V_{-}}^{C})^3}.$$

It is not difficult to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \,|\, p(\alpha) = 1\}$, that is, $\alpha \in \{\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \,|\, \alpha|_{({V_{-}}^{C})^3} = 1\}$. It follows from $\alpha E_1 = E_1, \alpha E = E$ that $\alpha(E_2 + E_3) = E_2 + E_3$, moreover since $\alpha|_{({V_{-}}^{C})^3} = 1$, we also see $\alpha(E_2-E_3)=E_2-E_3$. Hence, since we have $\alpha E_1=E_1, \alpha E_2=E_2, \alpha E_3=E_3$, we see $\alpha \in ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3} \cong Spin(8,C)$, and so set $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3), \alpha_k \in SO(8,C)$. Thus again from $\alpha F_1(e_k)=F_1(e_k), {}_{k=2,\ldots,7}$ and $\alpha|_{(V_-^C)^3}=1$, we have $\alpha_1=1$. Hence from the Principal of triality on $SO(8,C)$, we see $\alpha=(1,1,1)=1$ or $\alpha=(1,-1,-1)=\sigma$, that is, $\operatorname{Ker} p \subset \{1,\sigma\}$ and vice versa. Thus we see $\operatorname{Ker} p=\{1,\sigma\}$. Finally, we shall show that p is surjection. Since $SO(3,C)$ is connected, $\operatorname{Ker} p$ is discrete and $\dim_C(({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7})=3=\dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(3,C))$ (Lemma 4.3), p is surjection. Thus we have that

$$({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/\boldsymbol{Z}_2 \cong SO(3,C).$$

Therefore the group $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(3,C)$ as the universal covering group of $SO(3,C)$, that is, $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(3,C)$. □

Next, we shall construct one more $Spin(4,C)$ in ${E_6}^C$, and so we define subgroups $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1}, (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ of ${E_6}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1} &= \{\alpha \in {E_6}^C \,|\, \sigma\alpha=\alpha\sigma, \alpha E_1=E_1\} (\cong Spin(10,C)),\\ (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} &= \{\alpha \in (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1} \,|\, \alpha F_1(e_k)=F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7\},\end{aligned}$$

respectively, where as for $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1} \cong Spin(10,C)$, see [8, Proposition 3.6.4] in detail, and the C-linear transformation σ defined in Section 2 induces the involutive automorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of ${E_6}^C$, moreover define a 4-dimensional C-vector subspace $(V_-^C)^4$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(V_-^C)^4 &= \{X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,|\, 4E_1 \times (E_1 \times X)=X, F_1(e_k)\times X=0, k=2,\ldots,7\}\\ &= \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1\\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3\end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \xi_i \in C, x_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C \subset \mathfrak{C}^C\right\}\end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(-E_1,X,X)=-\xi_2\xi_3+\overline{x}_1x_1$. The group $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ acts on $(V_-^C)^4$, obviously.

Lemma 4.6. *The Lie algebra* $(({\mathfrak{e}_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *of the group* $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}(({\mathfrak{e}_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} &= \left\{\phi \in {\mathfrak{e}_6}^C \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l}\sigma\phi=\phi\sigma,\\ \phi E_1=0, \phi F_1(e_k)=0, k=2,\ldots,7\end{array}\right\}\\ &= \left\{\phi=\left(\begin{array}{c|c} D_2 & 0\\ \hline 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l}+\tilde{A}_1(a)\\ +(\tau E_2-\tau E_3+F_1(t))^\sim\end{array} \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l}D_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(2,C),\\ a,t \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \tau \in C\end{array}\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C((({\mathfrak{e}_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7})=6$.

Proof. By doing simple computation, this lemma is proved easily. □

Proposition 4.7. *The homogeneous space* $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(3,C)$ *is homeomorphic to the complex sphere* $(S_-^C)^3$: $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(3,C) \simeq (S_-^C)^3$.

In particular, the group $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is connected.*

Proof. We define a 3-dimensional complex sphere $(S_-^C)^3$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(S_-^C)^3 &= \{X \in (V_-^C)^4 \,|\, (-E_1,X,X)=1\}\\ &= \left\{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1\\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3\end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, -\xi_2\xi_3+\overline{x}_1x_1=1, \xi_k \in C, x_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C \subset \mathfrak{C}^C\right\}.\end{aligned}$$

The group $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ acts on $(S_-^C)^3$. Indeed, for $\alpha \in (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \subset (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1}$, it follows from [8, Lemma 3.6.2] that ${}^t\alpha^{-1} \in (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. Hence, for $X \in (S_{-}{}^{C})^3$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
4E_1 \times (E_1 \times \alpha X) &= 4{}^t\alpha^{-1}E_1 \times (\alpha E_1 \times \alpha X) = 4{}^t\alpha^{-1}E_1 \times {}^t\alpha^{-1}(E_1 \times X) \\
&= \alpha(4E_1 \times (E_1 \times X)) \\
&= \alpha X, \\
F_1(e_k) \times \alpha X &= \alpha F_1(e_k) \times \alpha X = {}^t\alpha^{-1}(F_1(e_k) \times X) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\alpha X \in (V_{-}{}^{C})^4$. Moreover, it is clear that $(-E_1, \alpha X, \alpha X) = 1$. Thus we see $\alpha X \in (S_{-}{}^{C})^3$. We shall prove that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any element $X \in (S_{-}{}^{C})^3$ can be transformed to $i(E_2 + E_3) \in (S_{-}{}^{C})^3$. Then we prepare some elements of $((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$.

For $t \in \boldsymbol{C}^C \subset \mathfrak{C}^C$ such that $t\,\overline{t} \neq 0$, we define a C-linear transformation $\beta_1(t)$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$, $\beta_1(t)X(\xi, x) = Y(\eta, y)$, by

$$\begin{cases}
\eta_1 = \xi_1 \\
\eta_2 = \dfrac{\xi_2 - \xi_3}{2} + \dfrac{\xi_2 + \xi_3}{2}\cosh\nu + \dfrac{(t, x_1)}{\nu}\sinh\nu \\
\eta_3 = -\dfrac{\xi_2 - \xi_3}{2} + \dfrac{\xi_2 + \xi_3}{2}\cosh\nu + \dfrac{(t, x_1)}{\nu}\sinh\nu,
\end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases}
y_1 = x_1 + \dfrac{(\xi_2 + \xi_3)t}{2\nu}\sinh\nu + \dfrac{2(t, x_1)t}{\nu^2}\sinh^2\dfrac{\nu}{2} \\
y_2 = x_2\cosh\dfrac{\nu}{2} + \dfrac{\overline{x_3 t}}{\nu}\sinh\dfrac{\nu}{2} \\
y_3 = x_3\cosh\dfrac{\nu}{2} + \dfrac{\overline{t x_2}}{\nu}\sinh\dfrac{\nu}{2},
\end{cases}$$

where $\nu \in C, \nu^2 = t\,\overline{t}$, moreover define a C-linear transformation $\alpha_{23}(c)$ of $\mathfrak{J}^C$ by

$$\alpha_{23}(c)\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3 & \overline{x}_2 \\ \overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & e^{c/2}x_3 & e^{-c/2}\overline{x}_2 \\ e^{c/2}\overline{x}_3 & e^{c}\xi_2 & x_1 \\ e^{-c/2}x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & e^{-c}\xi_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c \in C$. Then since we can express $\beta_1(t) = \exp F_1(t)^\sim$ and $\alpha_{23}(c) = \exp c(E_2 - E_3)^\sim$ for $F_1(t)^\sim, c(E_2 - E_3)^\sim \in ((\mathfrak{e}_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ (Lemma 4.6), we see that $\beta_1(t), \alpha_{23}(c) \in (((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$.

Now, let $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix} \in (S_{-}{}^{C})^3$. Operate some $\alpha_0 \in (((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on X, and so X can be transformed to $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & x_1' \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1' & -\xi \end{pmatrix} \in (S_{-}{}^{C})^2$, that is,

$$\alpha_0 X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi & x_1' \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1' & -\xi \end{pmatrix} \in (S_{-}{}^{C})^2.$$

Indeed, we have the following.

Case (i) where $x_1\overline{x}_1 \neq 0$.

We choose some $t_0 = i(\pi/2)(e_1x_1/(x_1\overline{x}_1)^{1/2}) \in \boldsymbol{C}^C$. Then since it is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
(t_0, x_1) &= \Big(i\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)\frac{e_1x_1}{\sqrt{x_1\overline{x}_1}}, x_1\Big) = i\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1\overline{x}_1}}(e_1x_1, x_1) = i\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1\overline{x}_1}}(e_1, 1)(x_1, x_1) = 0, \\
\nu^2 &= t_0\,\overline{t}_0 = i\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)\frac{e_1x_1}{\sqrt{x_1\overline{x}_1}}\,\overline{i\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)\frac{e_1x_1}{\sqrt{x_1\overline{x}_1}}} = -\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)^2\frac{(e_1x_1)(\overline{e_1x_1})}{\sqrt{x_1\overline{x}_1}^{\,2}} = -\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)^2\frac{x_1\overline{x}_1}{x_1\overline{x}_1} = -\Big(\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

operate $\alpha_0 := \beta_1(t_0)$ on X, and so we easily see that the η_2-part and the η_3-part of $\alpha_0 X$ are $(\xi_2 - \xi_3)/2$ and $-(\xi_2 - \xi_3)/2$, respectively. Hence we can confirm the form of $\alpha_0 X$ as above.

Case (ii) where $x_1\overline{x}_1 = 0$.

From the condition of $(S_-{}^C)^3$, we have $\xi_2\xi_3 = 1$. Then set $\xi_2 = e^{r_2 + i\theta_2}, r_2, \theta_2 \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Operate $\alpha_{23}(-r_2 - i\theta_2)$ on X, and so we easily see that the η_2-part and the η_3-part of $\alpha_{23}(-r_2 - i\theta_2)X$ are equal to 1, that is,

$$\alpha_{23}(-r_2 - i\theta_2)X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} =: X_1.$$

Moreover, operate $\alpha_{23}(i\pi/2)$ on X_1, then we have that

$$\alpha_{23}\Big(i\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & -i \end{pmatrix} \in (S_-{}^C)^2.$$

Hence this case is reduced to Case (i).

Since $Spin(3, C)\ (\cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \subset (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})$ acts transitively on $(S_-{}^C)^2$ (Proposition 4.4), there exists $\alpha \in Spin(3, C)$ such that

$$\alpha X = E_2 - E_3.$$

Again, operate $\alpha_{23}(i\pi/2)$ on $E_2 - E_3$, then we have that

$$\alpha_{23}\Big(i\frac{\pi}{2}\Big)(E_2 - E_3) = i(E_2 + E_3).$$

This shows the transitivity of this action to $(S_-{}^C)^3$ by the group $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$. The isotropy subgroup of the group $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ at $i(E_2 + E_3)$ is $Spin(3, C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} = (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, E_2+E_3, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ (Theorem 4.5, Section 2). Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(3, C) \simeq (S_-{}^C)^3.$$

Therefore we see that the group $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected. □

Theorem 4.8. *The group* $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is isomorphic to* $Spin(4, C)$: $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(4, C)$.

Proof. Let $O(4, C) = O((V_-^C)^4) = \{\beta \in \mathrm{Iso}_C((V_-^C)^4) \,|\, (E_1, \beta X, \beta Y) = (E_1, X, Y)\}$. We consider the restriction $\beta = \alpha \,\big|_{(V_-^C)^4}$ of $\alpha \in (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ to $(V_-^C)^4$, then we have $\beta \in O(4, C)$. Hence we can define a homomorphism $p : (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \to O(4, C) = O((V_-^C)^4)$ by

$$p(\alpha) = \alpha \,\big|_{(V_-^C)^4}.$$

Since the mapping p is continuous and the group $(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected (Proposition 4.7), the mapping p induces a homomorphism $p : (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \to SO(4, C) = SO((V_-^C)^4)$. It is not difficult to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Indeed, Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}\, p$. For $E_2 + E_3, E_2 - E_3 \in (V_-^C)^4$, since $\alpha(E_2 + E_3) = E_2 + E_3$ and $\alpha(E_2 - E_3) = E_2 - E_3$, that is, $\alpha E_2 = E_2, \alpha E_3 = E_3$, we have that $\alpha \in (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1, E_2, E_3, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1, E_2, E_3, F_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ (Theorem 3.3). Hence there exists $\theta \in U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ such that $\alpha = \phi(\theta)$, where ϕ is defined in Theorem 3.3, then it follows from $\alpha F_1(1) = F_1(1), F_1(1) \in (V_-^C)^4$ that we have $(\overline{\theta})^2 = 1$, that is, $\theta = 1$ or $\theta = -1$. Thus we have that

$$\alpha = \phi(1) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = \phi(-1) = \sigma,$$

that is, $\mathrm{Ker}\, p \subset \{1, \sigma\}$ and vice versa. Hence we obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{1, \sigma\}$. Finally, we shall show that p is surjection. Since $SO(4, C)$ is connected, $\mathrm{Ker}\, p$ is discrete and

$\dim_C(((\mathfrak{e}_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}) = 6 = \dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(4,C))$(Lemma 4.6), p is surjection. Thus we have that

$$((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}/\boldsymbol{Z}_2 \cong SO(4,C).$$

Therefore the group $((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(4,C)$ as the universal double covering group of $SO(4,C)$, that is, $((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7} \cong Spin(4,C)$. □

We define a group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ by

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7} = \left\{ \alpha \in E_7{}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa\alpha = \alpha\kappa, \mu\alpha = \alpha\mu, \\ \alpha\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1, \alpha\tilde{E}_{-1} = \tilde{E}_{-1} \\ \alpha\dot{F}_1(e_k) = \dot{F}_1(e_k), k = 2,\dots,7 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.9. *The group* $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ *is equal to the group* $((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$:$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7} = ((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7} \cong Spin(4,C)$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$. From $\alpha\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1$ and $\alpha\tilde{E}_{-1} = \tilde{E}_{-1}$, we have $\alpha\underset{\cdot}{1} = \underset{\cdot}{1}$, and so as in the proof of Proposition 4.2, we have $\alpha\dot{1} = \dot{1}$. Thus we see $\alpha \in (E_7{}^C)_{\dot{1},\underset{\cdot}{1}} = E_6{}^C$. Moreover, since we can confirm $\alpha\dot{E}_1 = \dot{E}_1$ from the condition above , we have $\alpha \in (E_6{}^C)_{E_1}$, and from $\kappa\alpha = \alpha\kappa$, together with $-\sigma = \exp(\pi i\kappa)$, we have $(-\sigma)\alpha = \alpha(-\sigma)$, that is, $\sigma\alpha = \alpha\sigma$. Thus we have $\alpha \in ((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$.

Conversely, let $\beta \in ((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$. It is clear that $\beta\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1$ and $\beta\tilde{E}_{-1} = \tilde{E}_{-1}$. For C-linear transformation κ_1 of $\mathfrak{J}^C$: $\kappa_1 X = (E_1, X)E_1 - 4E_1 \times (E_1 \times X)$, we have $\kappa_1\beta = \beta\kappa_1$. Indeed, note that suppose $\beta E_1 = E_1$, we have ${}^t\beta^{-1}E_1 = E_1$ (see [8, Lemma 3.6.2]).

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_1\beta X &= (E_1, \beta X)E_1 - 4E_1 \times (E_1 \times \beta X) \\
&= ({}^t\beta^{-1}E_1, \beta E_1)\beta E_1 - 4{}^t\beta^{-1}E_1 \times (\beta E_1 \times \beta X) \\
&= ({}^t\beta{}^t\beta^{-1}E_1, E_1)\beta E_1 - 4{}^t\beta^{-1}E_1 \times {}^t\beta^{-1}(E_1 \times X) \\
&= (E_1, \beta X)\beta E_1 - 4\beta(E_1 \times (E_1 \times \beta X)) \\
&= \beta((E_1, X)E_1 - 4E_1 \times (E_1 \times X)) \\
&= \beta\kappa_1 X,
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\kappa_1\beta = \beta\kappa_1$. Similarly, we can show $\kappa_1{}^t\beta^{-1} = {}^t\beta^{-1}\kappa_1$. Hence we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa\beta(X, Y, \xi, \eta) &= \kappa(\beta X, {}^t\beta^{-1}, \xi, \eta) \\
&= (-\kappa_1\beta X, \kappa_1{}^t\beta^{-1}Y, -\xi, \eta) \\
&= (-\beta\kappa_1 X, {}^t\beta^{-1}\kappa_1 Y, -\xi, \eta) \\
&= \beta(-\kappa_1 X, \kappa_1 Y, -\xi, \eta) \\
&= \beta\kappa(X, Y, \xi, \eta),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\kappa\beta = \beta\kappa$. Additionally, we can show that $\mu\beta = \beta\mu$. Indeed, for $(X, Y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{P}^C$, we do simple computation as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\beta(X, Y, \xi, \eta) &= \mu(\beta X, {}^t\beta^{-1}Y, \xi, \eta) \\
&= \varPhi(0, E_1, E_1, 0)(\beta X, {}^t\beta^{-1}Y, \xi, \eta) \\
&= (2E_1 \times {}^t\beta^{-1}Y + \eta E_1, 2E_1 \times \beta X + \xi E_1, (E_1, {}^t\beta^{-1}Y), (E_1, \beta X)) \\
&= (2{}^t\beta^{-1}E_1 \times {}^t\beta^{-1}Y + \eta\beta E_1, 2\beta E_1 \times \beta X + \xi{}^t\beta^{-1}E_1, (\beta E_1, {}^t\beta^{-1}Y), ({}^t\beta^{-1}E_1, \beta X)) \\
&= (2\beta(E_1 \times Y) + \eta\beta E_1, 2{}^t\beta^{-1}(E_1 \times X) + \xi{}^t\beta^{-1}E_1, (\beta^{-1}\beta E_1, Y), ({}^t\beta^{-1}\beta^{-1}E_1, X)) \\
&= (\beta(2E_1 \times Y + \eta E_1), {}^t\beta^{-1}(2E_1 \times X + \xi E_1), (E_1, Y), (E_1, X))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta(2E_1 \times Y + \eta E_1, 2E_1 \times X + \xi E_1, (E_1, Y), (E_1, X)) \\
&= \beta\varPhi(0, E_1, E_1, 0)(X, Y, \xi, \eta) \\
&= \beta\mu(X, Y, \xi, \eta),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\mu\beta = \beta\mu$. Hence we have $\beta \in (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. This proof is completed. ☐

Continuously, we shall construct one more $Spin(5, C)$ in ${E_7}^C$.

We define a group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ by

$$(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} = \left\{\alpha \in {E_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa\alpha = \alpha\kappa, \mu\alpha = \alpha\mu, \\ \alpha\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1, \\ \alpha\dot{F}_1(e_k) = \dot{F}_1(e_k), k = 2, \ldots, 7 \end{array}\right\},$$

moreover define a 5-dimensional C-vector subspace $({V_-}^C)^5$ of $\mathfrak{P}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
({V_-}^C)^5 &= \left\{P \in \mathfrak{P}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa P = P, P \times \tilde{E}_1 = 0, \\ P \times \dot{F}_1(e_k) = 0, k = 2, \ldots, 7 \end{array}\right\} \\
&= \{(X, -\eta E_1, 0, \eta) \,|\, 4E_1 \times (E_1 \times X) = X, X \times F_1(e_k) = 0, k = 2, \ldots, 7, \eta \in C\} \\
&= \left\{\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0, \eta\right) \;\middle|\; x \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \xi_2, \xi_3, \eta \in C\right\}
\end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(P, P)_\mu = (1/2)\{\mu P, P\} = -\xi_2\xi_3 + x_1\overline{x}_1 - \eta^2$, here the alternative inner product $\{P, Q\}$ is defined as follows: $\{P, Q\} = (X, W) - (Z, Y) + \xi\omega - \zeta\eta$ for $P = (X, Y, \xi, \eta), Q = (Z, W, \zeta, \omega)$. The group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ acts on $({V_-}^C)^5$, obviously.

Lemma 4.10. *The Lie algebra* $(({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *of the group* $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
(({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} &= \left\{\varPhi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa\varPhi = \varPhi\kappa, \mu\varPhi = \varPhi\mu, \\ \varPhi\tilde{E}_1 = 0, \\ \varPhi\dot{F}_1(e_k) = 0, k = 2, \ldots, 7 \end{array}\right\} \\
&= \left\{\varPhi(\phi, A, B, 0) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \phi \in ({\mathfrak{e}_6}^C)^\sigma, \\ \quad \phi E_1 = \phi F_1(e_k) = 0, \\ A = \varepsilon_2 E_2 + \varepsilon_3 E_3 + F_1(a), \\ \quad \varepsilon_k \in C, a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ B = -2E_1 \times A \\ \quad = -\varepsilon_3 E_2 - \varepsilon_2 E_3 + F_1(a) \end{array}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\dim_C(((({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}) = 6 + (1 + 1 + 2) = 10$.

Proof. Suppose $\kappa\varPhi = \varPhi\kappa$ for $\varPhi \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C$, from $-\sigma = \exp(\pi i\kappa)$ we see that $(-\sigma)\varPhi = \varPhi(-\sigma)$, that is, $\sigma\varPhi = \varPhi\sigma$. Hence, we have $\phi \in ({\mathfrak{e}_6}^C)^\sigma$. Moreover, from $\mu\varPhi = \varPhi\mu$, the condition $\varPhi\tilde{E}_1 = 0$ is equivalent to the condition $\varPhi(E_1, 0, 1, 0) = 0$. Using these facts, by doing simple computation, we have the required result. ☐

Lemma 4.11. *For* $0 \neq a \in C$*, we define a mapping* $\alpha_i(a) : \mathfrak{P}^C \to \mathfrak{P}^C$*,* $i = 1, 2, 3$ *by*

$$\alpha_i(a) = \begin{pmatrix}
1 + (\cos|a| - 1)p_i & -2\tau a\dfrac{\sin|a|}{|a|}E_i & 0 & a\dfrac{\sin|a|}{|a|}E_i \\
2a\dfrac{\sin|a|}{|a|}E_i & 1 + (\cos|a| - 1)p_i & -\tau a\dfrac{\sin|a|}{|a|}E_i & 0 \\
0 & a\dfrac{\sin|a|}{|a|}E_i & \cos|a| & 0 \\
-\tau a\dfrac{\sin|a|}{|a|}E_i & 0 & 0 & \cos|a|
\end{pmatrix},$$

then we have $\alpha_i(a) \in E_7 \subset {E_7}^C$, *where* $p_i : \mathfrak{J}^C \to \mathfrak{J}^C$ *is the* C*-linear mapping defined by*

$$p_i\begin{pmatrix}\xi_1 & x_3 & \overline{x}_2\\ \overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1\\ x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\xi_1 & \delta_{i3}x_3 & \delta_{i2}\overline{x}_2\\ \delta_{i3}\overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & \delta_{i1}x_1\\ \delta_{i2}x_2 & \delta_{i1}\overline{x}_1 & \xi_3\end{pmatrix},$$

where δ_{ij} *is the Kronecker delta symble. The mappings* $\alpha_1(a_1), \alpha_2(a_2), \alpha(a_3), (a_i \in C)$ *are commutative for each other.*

Proof. For $\varPhi_i(a) = \varPhi(0, aE_i, -\tau a E_i, 0) \in \mathfrak{e}_7$, it follows from $\alpha_i(a) = \exp \varPhi_i(a)$ that $\alpha_i(a) \in E_7 \subset {E_7}^C$. The relation formula $[\varPhi_i(a_i), \varPhi_j(a_j)] = 0$ shows that $\alpha_i(a_i)$ and $\alpha_j(a_j)$ are commutative (As for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_7$ of the compact Lie group E_7, see [11, Theorem 4.3.4] in detail). □

Proposition 4.12. *The homogeneous space* $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(4,C)$ *is homeomorphic to the complex sphere* $(S_-^C)^4$: $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(4,C) \simeq (S_-^C)^4$.

In particular, the group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is connected.*

Proof. We define a 4-dimensional complex sphere $(S_-^C)^4$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(S_-^C)^4 &= \{P \in (V_-^C)^5 \,|\, (P,P)_\mu = 1\}\\ &= \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1\\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}-\eta & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, 0, \eta \right) \,\middle|\, -\xi_2\xi_3 + x_1\overline{x}_1 - \eta^2 = 1 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

The group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ acts on $(S_-^C)^4$. Indeed, for $\alpha \in (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ and $P \in (S_-^C)^4$, from the following relational formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa\alpha P &= \alpha\kappa P = \alpha P,\\ \alpha P \times \tilde{E}_1 &= \alpha P \times \alpha\tilde{E}_1 = \alpha(P \times \tilde{E}_1){}^t\alpha^{-1} = 0,\\ \alpha P \times \dot{F}_1(e_k) &= \alpha P \times \alpha\dot{F}_1(e_k) = \alpha(P \times \dot{F}_1(e_k)){}^t\alpha^{-1} = 0,\\ (\alpha P, \alpha P)_\mu &= \frac{1}{2}\{\mu\alpha P, \alpha P\} = \frac{1}{2}\{\alpha\mu P, \alpha P\} = \frac{1}{2}\{\mu P, P\} = 1,\end{aligned}$$

we have $\alpha P \in (S_-^C)^4$. We shall show that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any element $P \in (S_-^C)^4$ can be transformed to $\tilde{E}_{-1} = (0, -E_1, 0, 1)$.

Now, for a given

$$P = \left(\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \xi_2 & x\\ 0 & \overline{x} & \xi_3\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}-\eta & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{pmatrix}, 0, \eta \right) \in (S_-^C)^4,$$

we choose $a \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le a \le \pi/2$ such that $\tan 2a = 2\mathrm{Re}(\eta)/\mathrm{Re}(\xi_2 + \xi_3)$ (if $\mathrm{Re}(\xi_2 + \xi_3) = 0$, let $a = \pi/4$). Operate $\alpha_{23}(a) := \alpha_2(a)\alpha_3(a) = \exp(\varPhi(0, a(E_2 + E_3), -a(E_2 + E_3), 0)) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on P (Lemmas 4.10, 4.11), then the part $(1/2)\mathrm{Re}(\xi_2+\xi_3)\sin 2a - \mathrm{Re}(\eta)\cos 2a$ of η-term in $\alpha_{23}(a)P$ is equal to 0, that is,

$$(1/2)\mathrm{Re}(\xi_2 + \xi_3)\sin 2a - \mathrm{Re}(\eta)\cos 2a = 0.$$

Again we choose $b \in \boldsymbol{R}, 0 \le b \le \pi/2$ such that $\tan 2b = 2\mathrm{Im}(\eta)/\mathrm{Im}(\xi_2 + \xi_3)$ (if $\mathrm{Im}(\xi_2 + \xi_3) = 0$, let $b = \pi/4$), then η-term of $\alpha_{23}(b)\alpha_{23}(a)P$ is equal to 0.
Hence we have that

$$\alpha_{23}(b)\alpha_{23}(a)P =: P' \in (S_-^C)^3.$$

Since $Spin(4,C)(\cong ((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \subset ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7})$ acts transitively on $(S_-^C)^3$, there exists $\beta \in Spin(4,C)$ such that

$$\beta P' = (i(E_2 + E_3), 0, 0, 0) =: P''.$$

Again, operate $\alpha_{23}(-\pi/4)$ on P'', then we have that

$$\alpha_{23}(-\frac{\pi}{4})P'' = (0, -iE_1, 0, i)(= i\tilde{E}_{-1}).$$

This shows the transitivity of this action to $(S_-^C)^4$ by the group $(E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. The isotropy subgroup of the group $(E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ at $i\tilde{E}_{-1}$ is $Spin(4, C)$ (Theorem 4.8, Proposition 4.9). Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(4, C) \simeq (S_-^C)^4.$$

Therefore we see that the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected. □

Theorem 4.13. *The group* $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is isomorphic to* $Spin(5, C)$*:* $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(5, C)$*.*

Proof. Let $O(5, C) = O((V_-^C)^5) = \{\beta \in \mathrm{Iso}_C((V_-^C)^5) \,|\, (\alpha P, \alpha P)_\mu = (P, P)_\mu\}$. We consider the restriction $\beta = \alpha\,\big|_{(V_-^C)^5}$ of $\alpha \in ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ to $(V_-^C)^5$, then we have $\beta \in O(5, C)$. Hence we can define a homomorphism $p : ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \to O(5, C) = O((V_-^C)^5)$ by

$$p(\alpha) = \alpha\,\big|_{(V_-^C)^5}\ .$$

Since the mapping p is continuous and the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected (Proposition 4.12), the mapping p induces a homomorphism

$$p : ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \to SO(5, C) = SO((V_-^C)^5).$$

It is not difficult to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\,p = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}\,p$. For $\tilde{E}_{-1} = (0, -E_1, 0, 1) \in (V^C)^5$, since $\alpha\tilde{E}_{-1} = \tilde{E}_{-1}$, together with $\alpha\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1$, we have that $\alpha\dot{E}_1 = \dot{E}_1$ and $\alpha\underset{\cdot}{1} = \underset{\cdot}{1}$. Hence we have that $\alpha \in ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{E}_1,\underset{\cdot}{1},\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong ((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. Moreover, for $E_2\dot{+}E_3, E_2\dot{-}E_3 \in (V_-^C)^5$, since $\alpha(E_2\dot{+}E_3) = E_2\dot{+}E_3$ and $\alpha(E_2\dot{-}E_3) = E_2\dot{-}E_3$, we have that $\alpha \in ((E_6{}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} = (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$. Hence there exists $\theta \in U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ such that $\alpha = \phi(\theta)$, where ϕ is defined in Theorem 3.3, and so since $\alpha F_1(1) = F_1(1), F_1(1) \in (V_-^C)^5$, we have $(\overline{\theta})^2 = 1$, that is, $\theta = 1$ or $\theta = -1$. Thus we have that

$$\alpha = \phi(1) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = \phi(-1) = \sigma,$$

that is, $\mathrm{Ker}\,p \subset \{1, \sigma\}$ and vice versa. Hence we obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\,p = \{1, \sigma\}$. Finally, we shall show that p is surjection. Since $SO(5, C)$ is connected, $\mathrm{Ker}\,p$ is discrete and $\dim_C(((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}) = 10 = \dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(5, C))$(Lemma 4.10), p is surjection. Thus we have that

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/\boldsymbol{Z}_2 \cong SO(5, C).$$

Therefore the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(5, C)$ as the universal double covering group of $SO(5, C)$, that is, $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(5, C)$. □

Continuously, we shall construct one more $Spin(6, C)$ in $E_7{}^C$.

We define a group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ by

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} = \left\{\alpha \in E_7{}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa\alpha = \alpha\kappa, \mu\alpha = \alpha\mu, \\ \alpha\dot{F}_1(e_k) = \dot{F}_1(e_k), k = 2, \ldots, 7 \end{array} \right\},$$

moreover, define a 6-dimensional C-vector subspace $(V_-{}^C)^6$ of $\mathfrak{P}^C$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (V_-^C)^6 &= \left\{P \in \mathfrak{P}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa P = P, \\ P \times \dot{F}_1(e_k) = 0, k = 2, \ldots, 7 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0, \eta) \;\middle|\; x_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \xi_2, \xi_3, \eta_1, \eta \in C \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(P, P)_\mu = (1/2)\{\mu P, P\} = -\xi_2\xi_3 + x_1\overline{x}_1 + \eta_1\eta$.

Lemma 4.14. *The Lie algebra* $(({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ *of the group* $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ *is given by*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7} &= \left\{ \Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa\Phi = \Phi\kappa, \mu\Phi = \Phi\mu, \\ \Phi\dot{F}_1(e_k) = 0, k = 2, \dots, 7 \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \phi = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l} +\tilde{A}_1(a) \\ +(\tau_1E_1+\tau_2E_2+\tau E_3 \\ \qquad\qquad +F_1(t_1))^{\sim} \end{array} \\ \quad D_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(2, C), a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \tau_k \in C, \\ \quad \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0, t_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ A = \varepsilon_2E_2 + \varepsilon_3E_3 + F_1(a),\ \varepsilon_k \in C, a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ B = \upsilon_2E_2 + \upsilon_3E_3 + F_1(b),\ \upsilon_k \in C, b \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ \nu = -(3/2)\tau_1 \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $\dim_C(((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}) = (1 + 2 + (2 + 2)) + 4 + 4 = 15.$

Proof. From [9, Section 4.6], we see that the explicit form of $({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu} &= \{\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \,|\, \kappa\Phi = \Phi\kappa, \mu\Phi = \Phi\mu\} \\
&= \left\{ \Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \phi \in ({\mathfrak{e}_6}^C)^{\sigma}, \\ A = \varepsilon_2E_2 + \varepsilon_3E_3 + F_1(a), \\ B = \upsilon_2E_2 + \upsilon_3E_3 + F_1(b), \\ \quad \varepsilon_k, \upsilon_k \in C, a, b \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ \nu = -(3/2)(\phi E_1, E_1) \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the result of direct computation of $\Phi\dot{F}_1(e_k)$ is as follows:

$$\Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu)\dot{F}_1(e_k) = (\phi F_1(e_k) - \frac{\nu}{3}F_1(e_k), 2A \times F_1(e_k), 0, (B, F_1(e_k))),$$

for $\Phi\dot{F}_1(e_k) = 0$ we have that

$$
\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \phi F_1(e_k) - \dfrac{\nu}{3}F_1(e_k) = 0 \cdots (1) \\ 2A \times F_1(e_k) = 0 \cdots (2) \\ (B, F_1(e_k)) = 0 \cdots (3). \end{array} \right.
$$

From the conditions (2) and (3), it is easy to verify that $x, y \in \boldsymbol{C}^C$. As for the condition (1), by doing direct computation, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi F_1(e_k) - \frac{\nu}{3}F_1(e_k) \\
&= (\delta + \tilde{T})F_1(e_k) - \frac{\nu}{3}F_1(e_k) \ (\delta \in {\mathfrak{f}_4}^C, T \in (\mathfrak{J}^C)_\sigma, \mathrm{tr}(T) = 0) \\
&= \delta F_1(e_k) + \tilde{T}F_1(e_k) - \frac{\nu}{3}F_1(e_k) \\
&= (D + \tilde{A}_1(a_1))F_1(e_k) + \tilde{T}F_1(e_k) - \frac{\nu}{3}F_1(e_k) \ (D \in \mathfrak{so}(8, C), a_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C) \\
&= F_1(De_k) + (a_1, e_k)(E_2 - E_3) + (\frac{1}{2}\tau_2F_1(e_k) + \frac{1}{2}\tau_3F_1(e_k) + (t_1, e_k)(E_2 + E_3)) - \frac{\nu}{3}F_1(e_k) \\
&= \{(a_1, e_k) + (t_1, e_k)\}E_2 + \{(a_1, e_k) - (t_1, e_k)\}E_3 + F_1(De_k + \frac{1}{2}(\tau_2 + \tau_3)e_k - \frac{\nu}{3}e_k),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $T = \tau_1E_1 + \tau_2E_2 + \tau_3E_3 + F_1(t_1), \tau_k \in C, t_1 \in \mathfrak{C}^C$.

Hence, from the condition (1), we see that

$$\begin{cases} (a_1, e_k) + (t_1, e_k) = (a_1, e_k) - (t_1, e_k) = 0 \cdots (4) \\ De_k - \dfrac{1}{2}\tau_1 e_k - \dfrac{\nu}{3} e_k = 0 \cdots (5) \ (\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0), \end{cases}$$

moreover, for the condition (5), together with $\nu = (-3/2)\tau_1$, we have $De_k = 0, k = 2, \ldots, 7$. Thus we have $D \in \mathfrak{so}(2, C) \subset \mathfrak{so}(8, C)$. From the condition (4), we have $a_1, t_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C$. Therefore we have the required the explicit form of the Lie algebra $((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$.

□

Lemma 4.15. *For $\nu \in C$, we define a mapping $\beta(\nu) : \mathfrak{P}^C \to \mathfrak{P}^C$ by*

$$\beta(\nu)(X, Y, \xi, \eta) = (\begin{pmatrix} e^{2\nu}\xi_1 & e^{\nu}x_3 & e^{\nu}\overline{x}_2 \\ e^{\nu}\overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ e^{\nu}x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2\nu}\eta_1 & e^{-\nu}y_3 & e^{-\nu}\overline{y}_2 \\ e^{-\nu}\overline{y}_3 & \eta_2 & y_1 \\ e^{-\nu}y_2 & \overline{y}_1 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, e^{-2\nu}\xi, e^{-\nu}\eta).$$

Then we have $\beta(\nu) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.14, for $\nu \in C$ we see that $\varPhi((2/3)\nu(2E_1 - (E_2 + E_3))^{\sim}, 0, 0, -2\nu) \in ((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$. Hence we have that

$$\beta(\nu) = \exp(\varPhi((2/3)\nu(2E_1 - (E_2 + E_3))^{\sim}, 0, 0, -2\nu)) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0.$$

□

Proposition 4.16. *The homogeneous space $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(5, C)$ is homeomorphic to the complex sphere $(S_-{}^C)^5$: $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}/Spin(5, C) \simeq (S_-{}^C)^5$.*

In particular, the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected.

Proof. We define a 5-dimensional complex sphere $(S_-{}^C)^5$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (S_-{}^C)^5 &= \{P \in (V_-{}^C)^6 \,|\, (P, P)_\mu = 1\} \\ &= \left\{ (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0, \eta) \,\middle|\, -\xi_2\xi_3 + x_1\overline{x}_1 + \eta_1\eta = 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 4.12, it is easy to verify that the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ acts on $(S_-{}^C)^5$, and so we shall show that this action is transitive. In order to prove this, it is sufficient to show that any $P \in (S_-{}^C)^5$ can be transformed to $\tilde{E}_1 \in (S_-{}^C)^5$.

Now, for a given

$$P = (\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x \\ 0 & \overline{x} & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, 0, \eta) \in (S^C)^5,$$

first we shall show that there exists $\alpha \in ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ such that $\alpha P \in (S_-{}^C)^4$.

Case (i) where $\eta_1 \neq 0, \eta \neq 0$.

We choose $\nu \in C$ such that $-e^{-2\nu}\eta_1 = e^{2\nu}\eta$, and operate $\beta(\nu)$ of Lemma 4.15 on P, then we have $\beta(\nu)P \in (S_-{}^C)^4$.

Case (ii) where $\eta_1 = 0, \eta \neq 0, \xi_2 \neq 0$.

Operate $\alpha = \exp\varPhi(0, E_3, 0, 0) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on P (Lemma 4.14), then we have that

$$\alpha P = (\xi_2 E_2 + (\xi_3 + \eta)E_3 + F_1(x), \xi_2 E_1, 0, \eta).$$

Hence this case is reduced to Case (i).

Case (iii) where $\eta_1 = 0, \eta \neq 0, \xi_3 \neq 0$.

As in Case (ii), operate $\alpha = \exp \varPhi(0, E_2, 0, 0) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on P (Lemma 4.14), then we have that

$$\alpha P = ((\xi_2 + \eta)E_2 + \xi_3 E_3 + F_1(x), \xi_3 E_1, 0, \eta).$$

Hence this case is also reduced to Case (i).

Case (iv) where $\eta_1 = \xi_2 = \xi_3 = 0, \eta \neq 0$.

For some $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$, operate $\alpha = \exp \varPhi(0, tF_1(x), 0, 0) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on $P = (F_1(x), 0, 0, \eta)$ (Lemma 4.14), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha P &= ((1 + t\eta)F_1(x), -(2t + t^2\eta)(x, x)E_1, 0, \eta) \ ((x, x) = 1) \\ &= ((1 + t\eta)F_1(x), -(2t + t^2\eta)E_1, 0, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence this case is also reduced to Case (i) for some $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$.

Case (v) where $\eta_1 \neq 0, \eta = 0, \xi_2 \neq 0$.

Operate $\alpha = \exp \varPhi(0, 0, E_2, 0) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on P (Lemma 4.14), then we have that

$$\alpha P = (\xi_2 E_2 + \xi_3 E_3 + F_1(x), \eta_1 E_1, 0, \xi_2).$$

Hence this case is also reduced to Case (i).

Case (vi) where $\eta_1 \neq 0, \eta = 0, \xi_3 \neq 0$.

As in Case (v), operate $\alpha = \exp \varPhi(0, 0, E_3, 0) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on P (Lemma 4.14), then we have that

$$\alpha P = (\xi_2 E_2 + \xi_3 E_3 + F_1(x), \eta_1 E_1, 0, \xi_3).$$

Hence this case is also reduced to Case (i).

Case (vii) where $\eta_1 \neq 0, \eta = 0, \xi_2 = \xi_3 = 0$.

For some $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$, operate $\alpha = \exp \varPhi(0, 0, tF_1(x), 0) \in (((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})_0$ on $P = (F_1(x), \eta_1 E_1, 0, 0)$ (Lemma 4.14), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha P &= ((1 - t\eta_1)F_1(x), \eta_1 E_1, 0, (2t - t^2\eta_1(x, x))) \ ((x, x) = 1) \\ &= ((1 - t\eta_1)F_1(x), \eta_1 E_1, 0, (2t - t^2\eta_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence this case is also reduced to Case (i) for some $t \in \boldsymbol{R}$.

Case (viii) where $\eta_1 = \eta = 0$.

Then we see that $P \in (S_-{}^C)^3 \subset (S_-{}^C)^4$.

Since $Spin(5, C)\,(\cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1, 1, \dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \subset ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7})$ acts transitively on $(S_-{}^C)^4$ (Proposition 4.12), there exists $\beta \in Spin(5, C)$ such that

$$\beta(\alpha P) = (0, -iE_1, 0, i)(= i\tilde{E}_{-1}),$$

Again, operate $\beta(-i\pi/4)$ of Lemma 4.15 on $\beta(\alpha P)$, then we have that

$$\beta(-i\frac{\pi}{4})(\beta(\alpha P)) = (0, E_1, 0, 1)(= \tilde{E}_1).$$

This shows the transitivity of this action to $(S_-{}^C)^5$ by the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$. The isotropy subgroup of the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ at $\tilde{E}_1$ is $Spin(5, C)$ (Theorem 4.13).

Thus we have the required homeomorphism

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} / Spin(5, C) \simeq (S_-{}^C)^5.$$

Therefore we see that the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected. □

Theorem 4.17. *The group* $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7}$ *is isomorphic to* $Spin(6, C)$: $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k), k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(6, C)$.

Proof. Let $O(6, C) = O((V_-^{\,C})^6) = \{\beta \in \mathrm{Iso}_C((V_-^{\,C})^6) \,|\, (\alpha P, \alpha P)_\mu = (P, P)_\mu\}$. We consider the restriction $\beta = \alpha\,\big|_{(V_-{}^C)^6}$ of $\alpha \in (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ to $(V_-^{\,C})^6$, then we have $\beta \in O(6, C)$. Hence we can define a homomorphism $p : (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \to O(6, C) = O((V_-^{\,C})^6)$ by

$$p(\alpha) = \alpha\,\big|_{(V_-{}^C)^6}\ .$$

Since the mapping p is continuous and the group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is connected (Proposition 4.16), the mapping p induces a homomorphism

$$p : (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \to SO(6, C) = SO((V_-^{\,C})^6).$$

It is not difficult to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Indeed, let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}\, p$. For $\tilde{E}_1 = (0, E_1, 0, 1), \tilde{E}_{-1} = (0, -E_1, 0, 1) \in (V_-^{\,C})^6$, since $\alpha\tilde{E}_1 = \tilde{E}_1$ and $\alpha\tilde{E}_{-1} = \tilde{E}_{-1}$, we have that $\alpha\dot{E}_1 = \dot{E}_1$ and $\alpha\underset{\cdot}{1} = \underset{\cdot}{1}$. Hence we have that $\alpha \in (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{E}_1,\underset{\cdot}{1},\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. Moreover, for $E_2 \dot{+} E_3, E_2 \dot{-} E_3 \in (V_-^{\,C})^6$, since $\alpha(E_2 \dot{+} E_3) = E_2 \dot{+} E_3$ and $\alpha(E_2 \dot{-} E_3) = E_2 \dot{-} E_3$, we have that $\alpha \in (({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$. Hence there exists $\theta \in U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)$ such that $\alpha = \phi(\theta)$, where ϕ is defined in Theorem 3.3, and so since $\alpha F_1(1) = F_1(1), F_1(1) \in (V_-^{\,C})^6$, we have $(\overline{\theta})^2 = 1$, that is, $\theta = 1$ or $\theta = -1$. Thus since we see

$$\alpha = \phi(1) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha = \phi(-1) = \sigma,$$

we have that $\mathrm{Ker}\, p \subset \{1, \sigma\}$ and vice versa. Hence we obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\, p = \{1, \sigma\}$. Finally, we shall show that p is surjection. Since $SO(6, C)$ is connected, $\mathrm{Ker}\, p$ is discrete and $\dim_C(((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}) = 15 = \dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(6, C))$(Lemma 4.14), p is surjection. Thus we have that

$$(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}/\boldsymbol{Z}_2 \cong SO(6, C).$$

Therefore the group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(6, C)$ as the universal double covering group of $SO(6, C)$, that is, $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(6, C)$. □

Here, as in previous section, we make a summary of the results as the low dimensional spinor groups which were constructed in this section.

$$\begin{array}{l}
(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(6, C) \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \cup \\
(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(5, C) \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \cup \\
(({E_6}^C)^\sigma)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(4, C) \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \cup \\
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(3, C) \\
\qquad\qquad\quad \cup \\
({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7} \cong Spin(2, C) \cong U(1, \boldsymbol{C}^C)
\end{array}$$

Together with the results of previous section, we have had two sequences as for the low dimensional spinor groups.

After this, by using two $Spin(6, C)$, we determine the structure of the groups $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$, $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$, and so we shall prove the connectedness of the group $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$.

First, we determine the structure of the group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$.

Lemma 4.18. *The Lie algebra $((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$ of the group $(({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$ is given by*

$$((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4} = \left\{ \Phi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in \mathfrak{e}_7{}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \kappa\Phi = \Phi\kappa, \mu\Phi = \Phi\mu \\ \sigma'_4\Phi = \Phi\sigma'_4 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ \varPhi(\phi, A, B, \nu) \in \mathfrak{e}_7{}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \phi = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & D_6 \end{array}\right) \begin{array}{l} +\tilde{A}_1(a) \\ +(\tau_1 E_1 + \tau_2 E_2 + \tau E_3 + F_1(t_1))^{\sim} \end{array} \\ \quad D_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(2, C), D_6 \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C), a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \tau_k \in C, \\ \quad \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0, t_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ A = \varepsilon_2 E_2 + \varepsilon_3 E_3 + F_1(a),\ \varepsilon_k \in C, a \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ B = \upsilon_2 E_2 + \upsilon_3 E_3 + F_1(b),\ \upsilon_k \in C, b \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ \nu = -(3/2)\tau_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

In particular, $\dim_C(((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}) = ((1 + 15) + 2 + (2 + 2)) + (2 + 2) \times 2 = 30.$

Proof. By doing simple computation, we can obtain the result above. ◻

Proposition 4.19. *The group* $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$ *is isomorphic to the group* $(Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_2, \boldsymbol{Z}_2 = \{(1, 1), (\sigma, \sigma)\}$: $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4} \cong (Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_2$.

Proof. Let $Spin(6, C) \cong (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ (Theorem 3.16, Proposition 4.2) and one more $Spin(6, C) \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{F_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ (Theorem 4.17). Then we define a mapping $\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4} : Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C) \to ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$ by

$$\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = \beta_1 \beta_2.$$

First, we have to prove that the mapping $\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$ is well-defined. It follows from Lemma 3.17 and Proposition 4.2 that $Spin(6, C) \cong (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \subset (F_4{}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \subset ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$, and since $Spin(6, C) \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ and $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$ are connected, in order to prove $Spin(6, C) \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7} \subset (E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$, it is sufficient to show that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}(6, C) \cong ((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ is the subalgebra of the Lie algebra $((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$. However, from Lemmas 4.14, 4.18, it is clear. Hence the mapping $\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$ is well-defined.

Next, we shall show that the mapping $\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$ is a homomorphism. Since $Spin(6, C) \cong (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ and $Spin(6, C) \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$ are connected, in order to prove that the mapping $\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$ is a homomorphism, it is sufficient to show that $\varPhi_1$ commutes with $\varPhi_2$, that is, $[\varPhi_1, \varPhi_2] = 0$ for $\varPhi_1 \in \mathfrak{spin}(6, C) \cong (\mathfrak{f}_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ and $\varPhi_2 \in \mathfrak{spin}(6, C) \cong ((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\dots,7}$. However, it is also clear from Lemmas 3.14, 4.14.

We determine the $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$. From the definition of kernel, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4} &= \{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C) \,|\, \varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}(\beta_1, \beta_2) = 1\} \\ &= \{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C) \,|\, \beta_1 \beta_2 = 1\} \\ &= \{(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C) \,|\, \beta_1 = \beta_2{}^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, from the condition $\beta_1 = \beta_2{}^{-1}$, we see $\beta_1 \dot{F}_1(e_k) = \beta_2{}^{-1} \dot{F}_1(e_k) = \dot{F}_1(e_k), k = 2, \dots, 7$, that is, $\beta_1 \dot{F}_1(e_k) = \dot{F}_1(e_k)$. Moreover, since $\beta_1 \in Spin(6, C) \cong (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}$, we see that $\beta_1 \dot{F}_1(x) = \dot{F}_1(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{C}^C$. Here, from $\beta_1 \in (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3} \cong Spin(8, C), \beta_1$ can be expressed by $\beta_1 = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \in SO(8, C)^{\times 3}$ such that $(\delta_1 x)(\delta_2 y) = \overline{\delta_3(\overline{xy})}$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}^C$, and so we have that $\delta_1 x = x$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{C}^C$. Hence we have $\delta_1 = 1$, and so we see that

$$\beta_1 = (1, 1, 1) = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_1 = (1, -1, -1) = \sigma.$$

Hence it follows from the condition $\beta_1 = \beta_2{}^{-1}$ that $\beta_2 = 1$ or $\beta_2 = \sigma$, that is, $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4} \subset \{(1, 1), (\sigma, \sigma)\}$ and vice versa. Thus we obtain that $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4} = \{(1, 1), (\sigma, \sigma)\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Finally, we shall show that $\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$ is surjection. Since $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$ is discrete, the group $((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$ is connected because of $(E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu} \cong Spin(12, C)$ (see [9, Proposition 4.6.10]) and $\dim_C(((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}) = 30 = \dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(6, C) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(6, C))$ (Lemma 4.18), $\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}$ is surjection.

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4} \cong (Spin(6,C) \times Spin(6,C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_2.$$

□

We determine the structure of the group $(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ as one of aims of this section.

Lemma 4.20. *The group $(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ contains a subgroup*

$$\psi(SL(2,C)) = \{\psi(A) \in E_7{}^C \,|\, A \in SL(2,C)\}$$

which is isomorphic to the special linear group $SL(2,C) = \{A \in M(2,C) \,|\, \det A = 1\}$. Here, for $A \in SL(2,C)$, a mapping $\psi(A) : \mathfrak{P}^C \to \mathfrak{P}^C$ is defined by

$$\psi(A)(\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & x_3 & \overline{x}_2 \\ \overline{x}_3 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ x_2 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & y_3 & \overline{y}_2 \\ \overline{y}_3 & \eta_2 & y_1 \\ y_2 & \overline{y}_1 & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \xi, \eta) =: (\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1{}' & x_3{}' & \overline{x}_2{}' \\ \overline{x}_3{}' & \xi_2{}' & x_1{}' \\ x_2{}' & \overline{x}_1{}' & \xi_3{}' \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1{}' & y_3{}' & \overline{y}_2{}' \\ \overline{y}_3{}' & \eta_2{}' & y_1{}' \\ y_2{}' & \overline{y}_1{}' & \eta_3{}' \end{pmatrix}, \xi', \eta'),$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1{}' \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = A\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \xi' \\ \eta_1{}' \end{pmatrix} = A\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \eta_2{}' \\ \xi_3{}' \end{pmatrix} = A\begin{pmatrix} \eta_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \eta_3{}' \\ \xi_2{}' \end{pmatrix} = A\begin{pmatrix} \eta_3 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1{}' \\ y_1{}' \end{pmatrix} = \tau A\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_2{}' \\ y_2{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x_3{}' \\ y_3{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3 \\ y_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The action of $\Phi(\phi(\nu), aE_1, bE_1, \nu) \in (\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ (Lemma 4.1) ($\phi(\nu) = (2/3)\nu(2E_1-(E_2+E_3))^\sim$, $a, b, \nu \in C$) on $\mathfrak{P}^C$ is as follows:

$$\Phi(\phi(\nu), aE_1, bE_1, \nu)(X, Y, \xi, \eta) =: (X', Y', \xi', \eta'),$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1{}' \\ \eta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & a \\ b & -\nu \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \xi' \\ \eta_1{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & a \\ b & -\nu \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta_2{}' \\ \xi_3{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & a \\ b & -\nu \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \eta_2 \\ \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \eta_3{}' \\ \xi_2{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu & a \\ b & -\nu \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \eta_3 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1{}' \\ y_1{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau\nu & \tau a \\ \tau b & -\tau\nu \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} x_2{}' \\ y_2{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_3{}' \\ y_3{}' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, for $A = \exp\begin{pmatrix} \nu & a \\ b & -\nu \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,C)$ ($\begin{pmatrix} \nu & a \\ b & -\nu \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{sl}(2,C)$), we have that

$$\exp(\Phi(\phi(\nu), aE_1, bE_1, \nu)) = \psi(A) \in \psi(SL(2,C)) \subset (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}.$$

□

Theorem 4.21. *We have that $(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \cong (SL(2,C) \times Spin(6,C) \times Spin(6,C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4$, $\boldsymbol{Z}_4 = \{(E,1,1), (E,\sigma,\sigma), (-E,\sigma'_4,-\sigma'_4), (-E,\sigma\sigma'_4,-\sigma\sigma'_4)\}$.*

Proof. Let $SL(2,C) = \{A \in M(2,C) \,|\, \det A = 1\}$ and two $Spin(6,C)$ as in Proposition 4.19. Then we define a mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4} : SL(2,C) \times Spin(6,C) \times Spin(6,C) \to (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ by

$$\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4}(A, \beta_1, \beta_2) = \psi(A)\beta_1\beta_2.$$

From Lemma 4.20 and Proposition 4.19, it is clear that the mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is well-defined. It is easy to verify that $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is a homomorphism. Indeed, note that $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in Spin(12,C) \cong (E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu}$. From [9, Theorem 4.6.13], we see that $\psi(A)$ commutes with β_1, β_2, respectively. Moreover, as in Proposition 4.19, β_1 commutes with β_2. Hence since $\psi(A), \beta_1, \beta_2$ commute each other, $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is a homomorphism. We shall show that $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is surjection. For

$\alpha \in ({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \subset ({E_7}^C)^{\sigma}$, there exist $A \in SL(2, C)$ and $\beta \in Spin(12, C)$ such that $\alpha = \varphi(A, \beta)$ (see [9, Theorem 4.6.13]). Moreover, from the condition $\sigma'_4\alpha = \alpha\sigma'_4$, we have that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} A = A \\ \sigma'_4\beta{\sigma'_4}^{-1} = \beta \end{array}\right. \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l} A = -A \\ \sigma'_4\beta{\sigma'_4}^{-1} = -\sigma\beta. \end{array}\right.$$

Then the latter case is impossible because of $A = 0$. As for the former case, from Proposition 4.19, there exist $\beta_1 \in Spin(6, C)$ and $\beta_2 \in Spin(6, C)$ such that $\beta = \varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4}(\beta_1, \beta_2)$. Thus, $\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is surjection. Finally, we determine the $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4}$. From $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi = \{(E, 1), (-E, -\sigma)\}$ (see [9, Theorem 4.6.13]) , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4} &= \{(A, \beta_1, \beta_2) \in SL(2, C) \times Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C) \,|\, A = E,\ \beta_1\beta_2 = 1\} \\ &\cup \ \{(A, \beta_1, \beta_2) \in SL(2, C) \times Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C) \,|\, A = -E,\ \beta_1\beta_2 = -\sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case (i) where $A = E,\ \beta_1\beta_2 = 1$.
From $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4} = \{(1, 1), (\sigma, \sigma)\}$ (Proposition 4.19), we have that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} A = E \\ \beta_1 = 1 \\ \beta_2 = 1 \end{array}\right. \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l} A = E \\ \beta_1 = \sigma \\ \beta_2 = \sigma. \end{array}\right.$$

Case (ii) where $A = -E,\ \beta_1\beta_2 = -\sigma$.
Since $\beta_1\beta_2 = -\sigma \in (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})^{\sigma'_4}$, there exist $\beta_1 \in Spin(6, C)$ and $\beta_2 \in Spin(6, C)$ such that $-\sigma = \beta_1\beta_2$ (Proposition 4.19). Here, we easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma'_4 \in Spin(6, C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}, \\ &-\sigma'_4 \in Spin(6, C) \cong (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}, \end{aligned}$$

and $\sigma'_4(-\sigma'_4) = -\sigma$, and so together with $\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi_{\kappa,\mu,\sigma'_4} = \{(1, 1), (\sigma, \sigma)\}$, we have that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \beta_1 = \sigma'_4 \\ \beta_2 = -\sigma'_4 \end{array}\right. \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l} \beta_1 = \sigma\sigma'_4 \\ \beta_2 = \sigma(-\sigma'_4) \end{array}\right. .$$

Hence we see

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4} \subset \{(E, 1, 1), (E, \sigma, \sigma), (-E, \sigma'_4, -\sigma'_4), (-E, \sigma\sigma'_4, -\sigma\sigma'_4)\},$$

and vice versa. Thus we obtain that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4} = \{(E, 1, 1), (E, \sigma, \sigma), (-E, \sigma'_4, -\sigma'_4), (-E, \sigma\sigma'_4, -\sigma\sigma'_4)\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_4.$$

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \cong (SL(2, C) \times Spin(6, C) \times Spin(6, C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4.$$

□

Now, we determine the structure of the group $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$, and prove the connectedness of its group as another aim of this section.

Theorem 4.22. *We have that* $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \cong SL(2, C) \times Spin(6, C)$.
In particular, the group $({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *is connected.*

Proof. Let $SL(2, C)$ and $Spin(6, C) \cong (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ as in Theorem 4.21. Note that $\mathfrak{so}(6, C) = \{\Phi_D = (D, 0, 0, 0) \in {\mathfrak{e}_7}^C \,|\, D \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C) \cong ({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}\}$. Then we define a mapping $\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} : SL(2, C) \times Spin(6, C) \to ({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ by

$$\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}(A, \beta_2) = \psi(A)\beta_2,$$

where note that the mapping $\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is the restricted mapping of the mapping $\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4}$ in Theorem 4.21. We have to prove that $\varphi_{{E_7}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is well-defined. In order to prove this, since $\psi(SL(2,C))$ and $Spin(6,C)$ are connected, it is sufficient to show that for $\varPhi(\phi(\nu), aE_1, bE_1, \nu) \in \psi_*(\mathfrak{sl}(2,C))$, $\varPhi_2 \in \mathfrak{spin}(6,C) \cong ((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$,

$$[\varPhi_D, \varPhi(\phi(\nu), aE_1, bE_1, \nu)] = 0, [\varPhi_D, \varPhi_2] = 0,$$

where $\varPhi(\phi(\nu), aE_1, bE_1, \nu) \in \psi_*(\mathfrak{sl}(2,C))$, $\varPhi_2 \in \mathfrak{spin}(6,C) \cong ((\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$, here a mapping ψ_* is the differential mapping of the mapping ψ in Lemma 4.20. However, it is clear that $[\varPhi_D, \varPhi(\phi(\nu), aE_1, bE_1, \nu)] = 0$, moreover from Lemma 4.15, it is easy to verify that $[\varPhi_D, \varPhi_2] = 0$. Hence $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is well-defined. Since the mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is the restricted mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4}$, it is clear that the mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is a homomorphism. We shall show that the mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is injection. Since $\dim_C(\varphi_{\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}) = 18 = 3 + 15 = \dim_C(\mathfrak{sl}(2,C) \oplus \mathfrak{spin}(6,C))$ (Lemma 4.1 (2)), the differential mapping ${\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}}_*$ of $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is injection. Hence we see that $\operatorname{Ker}{\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}}_* = \{0\}$, that is, $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is discrete. Hence, $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is contained in the center $z(SL(2,C) \times Spin(6,C)) = \{(E,1), (E,\sigma), (E,-\sigma'_4), (E,-\sigma\sigma'_4), (-E,1), (-E,\sigma), (-E,\sigma'_4), (-E,-\sigma\sigma'_4)\}$. However, since the mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ maps the elements of $z(SL(2,C) \times Spin(6,C))$ to $1, \sigma, -\sigma'_4, -\sigma\sigma'_4, -1, -\sigma, \sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4$, respectively, we have that $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} = \{(E,1)\}$, that is, the mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is injection. Finally, We shall show that the mapping $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is surjection. For $\alpha \in (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \subset (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$, there exist $A \in SL(2,C), \beta_1 \in Spin(6,C) \cong (F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2 \dot{+} E_3, E_2 \dot{-} E_3, \dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ and $\beta_2 \in Spin(6,C) \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$ such that $\alpha = \psi(A)\beta_1\beta_2$ (Theorem 4.21). Moreover, from the condition $\varPhi_D\alpha = \alpha\varPhi_D$, together with $\varPhi_D\psi(A) = \psi(A)\varPhi_D$(Lemma 4.20) and $\varPhi_D\beta_2 = \beta_2\varPhi_D$(Lemma 4.14), we have $\varPhi_D\beta_1 = \beta_1\varPhi_D$ for all $D \in \mathfrak{so}(6,C)$. Hence β_1 is contained in the center $z(Spin(6,C)) = z((F_4{}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1}) = \{1, \sigma, \sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_4$. However, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma &= (1)\sigma = \psi(E)\sigma \in \psi(SL(2,C))Spin(6,C),\\
\sigma'_4 &= (-1)(-\sigma'_4) = \psi(-E)(-\sigma'_4) \in \psi(SL(2,C))Spin(6,C),\\
\sigma\sigma'_4 &= (-1)(-\sigma\sigma'_4) = \psi(-E)(-\sigma\sigma'_4) \in \psi(SL(2,C))Spin(6,C),
\end{aligned}$$

that is, $\sigma, \sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4 \in \psi(SL(2,C))Spin(6,C)$, where $Spin(6,C) \cong ((E_7{}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=2,\ldots,7}$. Consequently, we have $\beta_1 = 1$. Hence, $\varphi_{E_7{}^C,\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is surjection.

Thus we have the required isomorphism

$$(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \cong SL(2,C) \times Spin(6,C).$$

Therefore we see that the group $(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is connected. □

5. CONNECTEDNESS OF THE GROUP $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$

We define a subgroup $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ of the group $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ by

$$(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} = \left\{ \alpha \in E_8{}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \sigma'_4\alpha = \alpha\sigma'_4, \\ \varTheta(R_D)\alpha = \alpha\varTheta(R_D) \text{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6,C) \end{array} \right\},$$

where $R_D = (\varPhi_D, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$ and $\varTheta(R_D)$ means $\operatorname{ad}(R_D)$. Hereafter for $R \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, we denote $\operatorname{ad}(R)$ by $\varTheta(R)$, moreover in $\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, we often use the following notations:

$$\varPhi = (\varPhi, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad P^- = (0, P, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad Q_- = (0, 0, Q, 0, 0, 0),$$
$$\widetilde{r} = (0, 0, 0, r, 0, 0), \quad s^- = (0, 0, 0, 0, s, 0), \quad t_- = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, t).$$

In order to prove the connectedness of the group $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$, we use the method used in [7]. However, we write this method in detail again.

First, we consider a subgroup $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ of the group $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$:

$$((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-} = \left\{ \alpha \in (E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \;\middle|\; \alpha 1_- = 1_- \right\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *We have the following.*

(1) *The Lie algebra* $((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ *of the group* $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ *is given by*

$$
\begin{aligned}
((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-} &= \left\{ R \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \sigma'_4 R = R, \\ [R, R_D] = 0 \textit{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6,C), [R, 1_-] = 0 \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (\Phi, 0, Q, 0, 0, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \Phi \in (\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}, \\ Q = (Z, W, \zeta, \omega), \\ \quad Z = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_2 & z \\ 0 & \overline{z} & \zeta_3 \end{pmatrix}, W = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_2 & w \\ 0 & \overline{w} & \omega_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \quad \zeta_k, \omega_k, \zeta, \omega \in C, z, w \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ t \in C \end{array} \right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where as for the explicit form of the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$, *see Lemma 4.1* (2).

In particular,

$$\dim_C(((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}) = 18 + ((3+2) \times 2 + 1 \times 2) + 1 = 31.$$

(2) *The Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *of the group* $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *is given by*

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} &= \left\{ R \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \sigma'_4 R = R, \\ [R, R_D] = 0 \textit{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6,C), \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \Phi \in (\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}, \\ P = (X, Y, \xi, \eta), \\ \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x \\ 0 & \overline{x} & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 & y \\ 0 & \overline{y} & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \quad \xi_k, \eta_k, \xi, \eta, \in C, x, y \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ Q = (Z, W, \zeta, \omega) \textit{ is same form as } P, \\ r, s, t \in C \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular,

$$\dim_C((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}) = 18 + ((3+2) \times 2 + 1 \times 2) \times 2 + 3 = 45.$$

Proof. (1) For $R = (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, from the condition $\sigma'_4 R = R$, we have that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi \in (\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4}, & \\
P = (X, Y, \xi, \eta), & \begin{array}{l} X = \xi_1 E_1 + \xi_2 E_2 + \xi_3 E_3 + F_1(x), \xi_k, \xi \in C, x \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ Y = \eta_1 E_1 + \eta_2 E_2 + \eta_3 E_3 + F_1(y), \eta_k, \eta \in C, y \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \end{array} \\
Q = (Z, W, \zeta, \omega), & \begin{array}{l} Z = \zeta_1 E_1 + \zeta_2 E_2 + \zeta_3 E_3 + F_1(z), \zeta_k, \zeta \in C, z \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ W = \omega_1 E_1 + \omega_2 E_2 + \omega_3 E_3 + F_1(w), \omega_k, \omega \in C, w \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \end{array} \\
r, s, t \in C. &
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, from the condition $[R, R_D] = 0$, we have that

$$\Phi \in (\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}, P, Q \text{ are same form above, and so are } r, s, t.$$

Finally, from the condition $[R, 1_-] = 0$, we have that $P = 0$ and $s = r = 0$. Hence we have the required explicit form of the Lie algebra $((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$.

(2) By an argument similar to (1) above, we have the required result. □

In Proposition 5.2 below, note that the subspace $(\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4}$ of $\mathfrak{P}^C$ is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4} &= \{ P \in \mathfrak{P}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4 P = P \} \\
&= \{ (X, Y, \xi, \eta) \in \mathfrak{P}^C \,|\, X, Y \in (\mathfrak{J}^C)_{\sigma'_4}, \xi, \eta \in C \},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $(\mathfrak{J}^C)_{\sigma_4'} = \{X \in \mathfrak{J}^C \,|\, \sigma_4' X = X\}$.

Proposition 5.2. *The group* $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ *is a semi-direct product of groups* $\exp(\varTheta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma_4'})_- \oplus C_-))$ *and* $(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$:

$$((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-} = \exp(\varTheta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma_4'})_- \oplus C_-)) \rtimes (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}.$$

In particular, $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ *is connected.*

Proof. Let $((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma_4'})_- \oplus C_- = \{(0,0,Q,0,0,t) \,|\, Q \in (\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma_4'}, t \in C\}$ be a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ (Lemma 5.1 (1)). Since it follows from $[Q_-, t_-] = 0$ that $\varTheta(Q_-)$ commutes with $\varTheta(t_-)$, we have $\exp(\varTheta(Q_- + t_-)) = \exp(\varTheta(Q_-))\exp(\varTheta(t_-))$, and so we also see that $\exp(\varTheta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma_4'})_- \oplus C_-))$ is the connected subgroup of the group $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$.

Now, let $\alpha \in ((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ and set

$$\alpha\tilde{1} = (\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, t), \quad \alpha 1^- = (\varPhi_1, P_1, Q_1, r_1, s_1, t_1).$$

Then, from the relation formulas $[\alpha\tilde{1}, 1_-] = \alpha[\tilde{1}, 1_-] = -2\alpha 1_- = -21_-, [\alpha 1^-, 1_-] = \alpha[1^-, 1_-] = \alpha\tilde{1}$, we have that

$$P = 0,\ s = 0,\ r = 1,\ \varPhi = 0,\ P_1 = -Q,\ s_1 = 1,\ r_1 = -\frac{t}{2}.$$

Moreover, from $[\alpha\tilde{1}, \alpha 1^-] = \alpha[\tilde{1}, 1^-] = 2\alpha 1^-$, we have that

$$\varPhi_1 = \frac{1}{2} Q \times Q,\ Q_1 = -\frac{t}{2}Q - \frac{1}{3}\varPhi_1 Q,\ t_1 = -\frac{t^2}{4} - \frac{1}{16}\{Q, Q_1\}.$$

Hence we see that α is of the form

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & 0 & \dfrac{1}{2}Q \times Q & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & -Q & 0 \\ * & * & * & Q & -\dfrac{t}{2}Q - \dfrac{1}{6}(Q \times Q)Q & 0 \\ * & * & * & 1 & -\dfrac{t}{2} & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ * & * & * & t & -\dfrac{t^2}{4} + \dfrac{1}{96}\{Q, (Q \times Q)Q\} & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta 1^- &= \exp\Big(\varTheta\big(\Big(\frac{t}{2}\Big)_-\big)\Big)\exp(\varTheta(Q_-))1^- \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \dfrac{1}{2}Q \times Q \\ -Q \\ -\dfrac{t}{2}Q - \dfrac{1}{6}(Q \times Q)Q \\ -\dfrac{t}{2} \\ 1 \\ -\dfrac{t^2}{4} + \dfrac{1}{96}\{Q, (Q \times Q)Q\} \end{pmatrix} = \alpha 1^-, \end{aligned}$$

and also that

$$\delta\tilde{1} = \alpha\tilde{1}, \quad \delta 1_- = \alpha 1_-.$$

Hence we see that $\delta^{-1}\alpha \in ((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{\tilde{1}, 1^-, 1_-} = (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$.

Thus we have that

$$((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-} = \exp(\Theta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4})_- \oplus C_-))(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}.$$

Furthermore, for $\beta \in (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$, it is easy to verify that

$$\beta(\exp(\Theta(Q_-)))\beta^{-1} = \exp(\Theta(\beta Q_-)), \quad \beta((\exp(\Theta(t_-)))\beta^{-1} = \exp(\Theta(t_-).$$

Indeed, for $(\Phi', P', Q', r', s', t') \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, by doing simple computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\Theta(Q_-)\beta^{-1}(\Phi', P', Q', r', s', t') &= \beta[Q_-, \beta^{-1}(\Phi', P', Q', r', s', t')] \\ &= [\beta Q_-, \beta\beta^{-1}(\Phi', P', Q', r', s', t')]\ (\beta \in E_7{}^C \subset E_8{}^C) \\ &= [\beta Q_-, (\Phi', P', Q', r', s', t')] \\ &= \Theta(\beta Q_-)(\Phi', P', Q', r', s', t'), \end{aligned}$$

that is, $\beta\Theta(Q_-)\beta^{-1} = \Theta(\beta Q_-)$. Hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\exp(\Theta(Q_-)))\beta^{-1} &= \beta\Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\Theta(Q_-)^n\Big)\beta^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}(\beta\Theta(Q_-)\beta^{-1})^n \quad (\beta\Theta(Q_-)\beta^{-1} = \Theta(\beta Q_-)) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}(\Theta(\beta Q_-))^n \\ &= \exp(\Theta(\beta Q_-)). \end{aligned}$$

By the argument similar to above, we have that $\beta((\exp(\Theta(t_-)))\beta^{-1} = \exp(\Theta(t_-)$.
This shows that $\exp(\Theta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4})_- \oplus C_-)) = \exp(\Theta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4})_-)\exp(\Theta(C_-))$ is a normal subgroup of the group $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$.
Moreover, we have a split exact sequence

$$1 \to \exp(\Theta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4})_- \oplus C_-)) \to ((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-} \to (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \to 1.$$

Hence the group $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ is a semi-direct product of $\exp(\Theta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4})_- \oplus C_-))$ and $(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$:

$$((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-} = \exp(\Theta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4})_- \oplus C_-)) \rtimes (E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}.$$

Therefore since $\exp(\Theta(((\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4})_- \oplus C_-))$ is connected and $(E_7{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is connected (Theorem 4.22), we have that the group $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ is connected. □

For $R \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, we define a C-linear mapping $R \times R : \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C \to \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$ by

$$(R \times R)R_1 = [R, [R, R_1]] + \frac{1}{30}B_8(R, R_1)R, \ \ R_1 \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C,$$

where B_8 is the Killing form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$ (As for the Killing form B_8, see [11, Theorem 5.3.2]), and using this mapping we define a space $\mathfrak{W}^C$ by

$$\mathfrak{W}^C = \{R \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C \,|\, R \times R = 0, R \neq 0\},$$

moreover, define a subspace $(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ of $\mathfrak{W}^C$ by

$$(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} = \{R \in \mathfrak{W}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4 R = R, [R_D, R] = 0 \text{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C)\}.$$

Lemma 5.3. *For* $R = (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$ *satisfying* $\sigma'_4 R = R$ *and* $[R_D, R] = 0$ *for all* $D \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C), R \neq 0$*, R belongs to* $(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *if and only if R satisfies the following conditions:*

(1) $2s\Phi - P \times P = 0$ (2) $2t\Phi + Q \times Q = 0$

(3) $2r\varPhi + P \times Q = 0$ (4) $\varPhi P - 3rP - 3sQ = 0$

(5) $\varPhi Q + 3rQ - 3tP = 0$ (6) $\{P, Q\} - 16(st + r^2) = 0$

(7) $2(\varPhi P \times Q_1 + 2P \times \varPhi Q_1 - rP \times Q_1 - sQ \times Q_1) - \{P, Q_1\}\varPhi = 0$

(8) $2(\varPhi Q \times P_1 + 2Q \times \varPhi P_1 + rQ \times P_1 - tP \times P_1) - \{Q, P_1\}\varPhi = 0$

(9) $8((P \times Q_1)Q - stQ_1 - r^2Q_1 - \varPhi^2 Q_1 + 2r\varPhi Q_1) + 5\{P, Q_1\}Q - 2\{Q, Q_1\}P = 0$

(10) $8((Q \times P_1)P + stP_1 + r^2P_1 + \varPhi^2 P_1 + 2r\varPhi P_1) + 5\{Q, P_1\}P - 2\{P, Q_1\}Q = 0$

(11) $18(\operatorname{ad}\varPhi)^2\varPhi_1 + Q \times \varPhi_1 P - P \times \varPhi_1 Q) + B_7(\varPhi, \varPhi_1)\varPhi = 0$

(12) $18(\varPhi_1\varPhi P - 2\varPhi\varPhi_1 P - r\varPhi_1 P - s\varPhi_1 Q) + B_7(\varPhi, \varPhi_1)P = 0$

(13) $18(\varPhi_1\varPhi Q - 2\varPhi\varPhi_1 Q + r\varPhi_1 Q - t\varPhi_1 P) + B_7(\varPhi, \varPhi_1)Q = 0,$

(*where B_7 is the Killing form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_7{}^C$) for all $\varPhi_1 \in \mathfrak{e}_7{}^C, P_1, Q_1 \in \mathfrak{P}^C$.*

Proof. For $R = (\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$ satisfying $\sigma_4' R = R$ and $[R_D, R] = 0$ for all $D \in \mathfrak{so}(6, C), R \neq 0$, by doing simple computation of $(R \times R)R_1 = 0$ for all $R_1 = (\varPhi_1, P_1, Q_1, r_1, s_1, t_1) \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, we have the required result above. □

Proposition 5.4. *The group $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_0$ acts on $(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ transitively.*

Proof. Since $\alpha \in (E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ leaves invariant the Killing form B_8 of $\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C : B_8(\alpha R, \alpha R') = B_8(R, R'), R, R' \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, we have $\alpha R \in (\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ for $R \in (\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$. Indeed, since we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha R \times \alpha R)R_1 &= [\alpha R, [\alpha R, \alpha R_1]\,] + \frac{1}{30}B_8(\alpha R, R_1)\alpha R \\
&= \alpha[\,[R, [R, \alpha^{-1}R_1]\,]\,] + \frac{1}{30}B_8(R, \alpha^{-1}R_1)\alpha R \\
&= \alpha((R \times R)\alpha^{-1}R_1 \\
&= \ 0, \\
[R_D, \alpha R] &= \alpha[\alpha^{-1}R_D, R] = \alpha[R_D, R] \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

this shows that the group $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ acts on $(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$. We shall show that this action is transitive. First, for $R_1 \in \mathfrak{e}_8{}^C$, it follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1_- \times 1_-)R_1 &= [1_-, [1_-, (\varPhi_1, P_1, Q_1, r_1, s_1, t_1)]\,] + \frac{1}{30}B_8(1_-, R_1)1_- \\
&= [1_-, (0, 0, P_1, -s_1, 0, 2r_1)] + 2s_1 1_- \\
&= (0, 0, 0, 0, -2s_1) + 2s_1 1_- \\
&= 0, \\
[R_D, 1_-] &= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\sigma_4' 1_- = 1_-$ that we confirm $1_- \in (\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$. Then, in order to prove the transitivity of this action, it is sufficient to show that any element $R \in (\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ can be transformed to $1_- \in (\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ by some $\alpha \in (E_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$. Indeed, we have the following.

Case (i) where $R = (\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, t), t \neq 0$.

From Lemma 5.3 (2),(5) and (6), we have that

$$
\varPhi = -\frac{1}{2t}Q \times Q, \ P = \frac{r}{t}Q - \frac{1}{6t^2}(Q \times Q)Q, \ s = -\frac{r^2}{t} + \frac{1}{96t^3}\{Q, (Q \times Q)Q\}.
$$

Now, for $\varTheta = \varTheta(0, P_1, 0, r_1, s_1, 0) \in \varTheta((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})$ (Lemma 5.1 (2)), we compute $\varTheta^n 1_-$:

$$\varTheta^n 1_- = \begin{pmatrix} ((-2)^{n-1}+(-1)^n){r_1}^{n-2}P_1\times P_1 \\ \Big((-2)^{n-1}-\dfrac{1+(-1)^{n-1}}{2}\Big){r_1}^{n-2}s_1P_1+\Big(\dfrac{1-(-2)^n}{6}+\dfrac{(-1)^n}{2}\Big){r_1}^{n-3}(P_1\times P_1)P_1 \\ ((-2)^n+(-1)^{n-1}){r_1}^{n-1}P_1 \\ (-2)^{n-1}{r_1}^{n-1}s_1 \\ -((-2)^{n-2}+2^{n-2}){r_1}^{n-2}{s_1}^2+\dfrac{2^{n-2}+(-2)^{n-2}-(-1)^{n-1}}{24}{r_1}^{n-4}\{P_1,(P_1\times P_1)P_1\} \\ (-2)^n{r_1}^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, by doing simple computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\exp(\varPhi(0,P_1,0,r_1,s_1,0))1_- = (\exp\varTheta)1_- = \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\varTheta^n\Big)1_- \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{1}{2{r_1}^2}(e^{-2r_1}-2e^{-r_1}+1)P_1\times P_1 \\ \dfrac{s_1}{2{r_1}^2}(-e^{-2r_1}-e^{r_1}+e^{-r_1}+1)P_1+\dfrac{1}{6{r_1}^3}(-e^{-2r_1}+e^{r_1}+3e^{-r_1}-3)(P_1\times P_1)P_1 \\ \dfrac{1}{r_1}(e^{-2r_1}-e^{-r_1})P_1 \\ \dfrac{s_1}{2r_1}(1-e^{-2r_1}) \\ -\dfrac{{s_1}^2}{4{r_1}^2}(e^{-2r_1}+e^{2r_1}-2)+\dfrac{1}{96{r_1}^4}(e^{2r_1}+e^{-2r_1}-4e^{r_1}-4e^{-r_1}+6)\{P_1,(P_1\times P_1)P_1\} \\ e^{-2r_1} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that if $r_1 = 0$,

$$\frac{f(r_1)}{{r_1}^k} \ \text{ means } \ \lim_{r_1\to 0}\frac{f(r_1)}{{r_1}^k}.$$

Here we set

$$Q = \frac{1}{r_1}(e^{-2r_1}-e^{-r_1})P_1, \quad r = \frac{s_1}{2r_1}(1-e^{-2r_1}), \quad t = e^{-2r_1}.$$

Then we have that

$$(\exp\ \varTheta)1_- = \begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{1}{2t}Q\times Q \\ \dfrac{r}{t}Q-\dfrac{1}{6t^2}(Q\times Q)Q \\ Q \\ r \\ -\dfrac{r^2}{t}+\dfrac{1}{96t^3}\{Q,(Q\times Q)Q\} \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \varPhi \\ P \\ Q \\ r \\ s \\ t \end{pmatrix} =: R.$$

Thus R is transformed to 1_- by $(\exp\ \varTheta)^{-1} \in (({E_8}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_0$.

Case (ii) where $R = (\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, 0), s \neq 0$.

First, we denote $\exp(\varTheta(0,0,0,0,\pi/2,-\pi/2)) \in (({E_8}^C)^{\sigma_4', \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_0$ by λ' (Lemma 5.1 (2)). Here, operate λ' on R, then we have that

$$\lambda' R = \lambda'(\varPhi, P, Q, r, s, 0) = (\varPhi, Q, -P, -r, 0, -s), \quad -s \neq 0.$$

Hence this case can be reduced to Case (i).

Case (iii) where $R = (\varPhi, P, Q, r, 0, 0), r \neq 0$.

From Lemma 5.3 (2),(5) and (6), we have that

$$Q\times Q = 0, \quad \varPhi Q = -3rQ, \quad \{P, Q\} = 16r^2.$$

Then, for $\varTheta = \varTheta(0, Q, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \varTheta((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})$ (Lemma 5.1 (2)), we see that

$$(\exp\varTheta)R = (\varPhi, P + 2rQ, Q, r, -4r^2, 0), \quad -4r^2 \neq 0.$$

Hence this case can be reduced to Case (ii).

Case (iv) where $R = (\varPhi, P, Q, 0, 0, 0)$, $Q \neq 0$.

We choose $P_1 \in (\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4}$ such that $\{P_1, Q\} \neq 0$. Then, for $\varTheta = \varTheta(0, P_1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \varTheta((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})$ (Lemma 5.1 (2)), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}(\exp\varTheta)R \ = \ & (\varPhi + P_1 \times Q,\ P - \varPhi P_1 + \frac{1}{2}(P_1 \times Q)P_1,\ Q,\ -\frac{1}{8}\{P_1, Q\},\\ & \frac{1}{4}\{P_1, P\} + \frac{1}{8}\{P_1, -\varPhi P_1\} + \frac{1}{24}\{P_1, (P_1 \times Q)P_1\},\ 0), \quad -\frac{1}{8}\{P_1, Q\} \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence this case can be reduced to Case (iii).

Case (v) where $R = (\varPhi, P, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $P \neq 0$.

We choose $Q_1 \in (\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4}$ such that $\{P, Q_1\} \neq 0$. Then, for $\varTheta = \varTheta(0, 0, Q_1, 0, 0, 0) \in \varTheta((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})$ (Lemma 5.1 (2)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\exp\varTheta)R \ = \ & (\varPhi - P \times Q_1, P, -\varPhi Q_1 - \frac{1}{2}(P \times Q_1)Q_1, \frac{1}{8}\{P, Q_1\},\\ & 0, -\frac{1}{8}\{Q_1, -\varPhi Q_1\} - \frac{1}{24}\{Q_1, -(P \times Q_1)Q_1\}), \quad \frac{1}{8}\{P, Q_1\} \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence this case can be reduced to Case (iii).

Case (vi) where $R = (\varPhi, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, $\varPhi \neq 0$. From Lemma 5.3 (10), we have $\varPhi^2 = 0$. We choose $P_1 \in (\mathfrak{P}^C)_{\sigma'_4}$ such that $\varPhi P_1 \neq 0$. Then, for $\varTheta = \varTheta(0, P_1, 0, 0, 0, 0) \in \varTheta((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})$ (Lemma 5.1 (2)), we have that

$$(\exp\varTheta)R = \Big(\varPhi, -\varPhi P_1, 0, 0, \frac{1}{8}\{\varPhi P_1, P_1\}, 0\Big).$$

Hence this case is also reduced to Case (v).

Thus the proof of this proposition is completed. □

Now, we shall prove the theorem as the aim of this section.

Theorem 5.5. *The homogeneous space* $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}/((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ *is diffeomorphic to the space* $(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$: $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}/((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-} \simeq (\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$.

In particular, the group $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *is connected.*

Proof. Since the group $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ acts on the space $(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ transitively (Proposition 5.4), the former half of this theorem is proved.

The latter half can be shown as follows. Since $((E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})_{1_-}$ and $(\mathfrak{W}^C)_{\sigma'_4}$ are connected (Propositions 5.2, 5.4), we have that $(E_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is also connected. □

6. Construction of $Spin(10, C)$ in $E_8{}^C$

We define a subgroup $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)}$ of E_8 by

$$(E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)} = \left\{ \alpha \in E_8 \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \sigma'_4\alpha = \alpha\sigma'_4, \\ \varTheta(R_D)\alpha = \alpha\varTheta(R_D) \text{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *The Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ *of the group* $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)} &= \left\{ R \in \mathfrak{e}_8 \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \sigma'_4 R = R, \\ [R, R_D] = 0 \textit{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ (\varPhi, P, -\tau\lambda P, r, s, -\tau s) \in \mathfrak{e}_8 \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \varPhi \in (\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}, \\ P = (X, Y, \xi, \eta), \\ \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x \\ 0 & \overline{x} & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 & y \\ 0 & \overline{y} & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \quad \xi_k, \eta_k, \xi, \eta, \in C, x, y \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ r \in i\boldsymbol{R}, s \in C, \end{array} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where as mentioned in Lemma 4.11, as for the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_7$ *of the compact Lie group* E_7*, see* [11, theorem 4.3.4] *in detail, and so the Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ *above is defined as follows*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{e}_7)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)} &= \left\{ \varPhi(\phi, A, -\tau A, \nu) \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \sigma'_4 \varPhi = \varPhi \sigma'_4, \\ [\varPhi, \varPhi_D] = 0 \textit{ for all } D \in \mathfrak{so}(6) \end{array} \right\} \\
&= \left\{ \varPhi(\phi, A, -\tau A, \nu) \in \mathfrak{e}_7 \,\middle|\, \begin{array}{l} \phi = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D_2 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \begin{array}{l} +\tilde{A}_1(a) \\ +i(\tau_1 E_1 + \tau_2 E_2 + \tau E_3 + F_1(t_1))^{\sim} \end{array} \\ \quad D_2 \in \mathfrak{so}(2), a \in \boldsymbol{C}, \tau_k \in \boldsymbol{R}, \\ \quad \tau_1 + \tau_2 + \tau_3 = 0, t_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}, \\ A = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & \overline{x}_1 & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, \ \xi_k \in C, x_1 \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ \nu \in i\boldsymbol{R} \end{array} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\dim_C((\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}) = 18 + ((3+2) \times 2 + 1 \times 2) \times 2 + 3 = 45.$$

Proof. By the argument similar to Lemma 5.1 (2), we have the required result. □

Proposition 6.2. *The Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ *is isomorphic to the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{so}(10)$ *and the Lie algebra* $(\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ *is isomorphic to the Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{so}(10, C)$: $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10)$ *and* $(\mathfrak{e}_8{}^C)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10, C)$.

Proof. We provide the correspondence φ_* between the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(10) = \{D \in M(10, \boldsymbol{R}) \mid {}^tD = -D\}$ and the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ explicitly as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rccc}
\varphi_* : \mathfrak{so}(10) & \longrightarrow & (\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}, & \\
G_{ij} & \longmapsto & R_{ij}, & 0 \leq i < j \leq 9,
\end{array}$$

where the elements G_{ij} are $\boldsymbol{R}$-basis in $\mathfrak{so}(10)$. As its example, the explicit form of G_{26} as matrix is of form with $(3, 7)$-component $= 1$, $(7, 3)$-component $= -1$, other components $= 0$, moreover the explicit forms of C-basis R_{ij} in $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4,\mathfrak{so}(6)}$ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{01} &= (\varPhi(-i(E_2 - E_3)^{\sim}, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{02} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 - E_3), -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 - E_3), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
R_{12} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, \frac{1}{2}(E_2 + E_3), -\frac{1}{2}(E_2 + E_3), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{03} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{1}{2}(E_2 - E_3), \frac{1}{2}(E_2 - E_3), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
R_{13} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 + E_3), -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 + E_3), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
R_{23} &= (\varPhi(-i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, i), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{04} &= (0, (-(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0, 0), (0, -(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{14} &= (0, (-i(E_2 + E_3), 0, 0, 0), (0, i(E_2 + E_3), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{24} &= (0, (0, iE_1, 0, -i), (iE_1, 0, -i, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{34} &= (0, (0, E_1, 0, 1), (-E_1, 0, -1, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{05} &= (0, (-i(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0, 0), (0, i(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{15} &= (0, (E_2 + E_3, 0, 0, 0), (0, E_2 + E_3, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{25} &= (0, (0, -E_1, 0, 1), (E_1, 0, -1, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{35} &= (0, (0, iE_1, 0, i), (iE_1, 0, i, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{45} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, \frac{i}{2}\Big), 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}, 0, 0\Big) \\
R_{06} &= (0, (0, -(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0), (E_2 - E_3, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{16} &= (0, (0, i(E_2 + E_3), 0, 0), (i(E_2 + E_3), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{26} &= (0, (iE_1, 0, -i, 0), (0, -iE_1, 0, i), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{36} &= (0, (-E_1, 0, -1, 0), (0, -E_1, 0, -1), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{46} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, \frac{1}{2}E_1, -\frac{1}{2}E_1, 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\Big) \\
R_{56} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{2}E_1, -\frac{i}{2}E_1, 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}, -\frac{i}{2}\Big) \\
R_{07} &= (0, (0, -i(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0), (-i(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{17} &= (0, (0, -(E_2 + E_3), 0, 0), (E_2 + E_3, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{27} &= (0, (-E_1, 0, 1, 0), (0, -E_1, 0, 1), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{37} &= (0, (-iE_1, 0, -i, 0), (0, iE_1, 0, i), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{47} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, \frac{i}{2}E_1, \frac{i}{2}E_1, 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}, -\frac{i}{2}\Big) \\
R_{57} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, \frac{1}{2}E_1, -\frac{1}{2}E_1, 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\Big) \\
R_{67} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(- i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}\Big), 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}, 0, 0\Big) \\
R_{08} &= (\varPhi(\tilde{A}_1(i), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{18} &= (\varPhi(-F_1(1)^{\sim}, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{28} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{1}{2}F_1(1), -\frac{1}{2}F_1(1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
R_{38} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, \frac{i}{2}F_1(1), -\frac{i}{2}F_1(1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
R_{48} &= (0, (iF_1(1), 0, 0, 0), (0, iF_1(1), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0) \\
R_{58} &= (0, (-F_1(1), 0, 0, 0), (0, F_1(1), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{68} &= (0, (0, iF_1(1), 0, 0), (-iF_1(1), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{78} &= (0, (0, -F_1(1), 0, 0), (-F_1(1), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{09} &= (\varPhi(i\tilde{A}_1(e_1), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{19} &= (\varPhi(-F_1(e_1)^{\sim}, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{29} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{1}{2}F_1(e_1), -\frac{1}{2}F_1(e_1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big)\\
R_{39} &= \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, \frac{i}{2}F_1(e_1), -\frac{i}{2}F_1(e_1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big)\\
R_{49} &= (0, (iF_1(e_1), 0, 0, 0), (0, iF_1(e_1), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{59} &= (0, (-F_1(e_1), 0, 0, 0), (0, F_1(e_1), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{69} &= (0, (0, -iF_1(e_1), 0, 0), (iF_1(e_1), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{79} &= (0, (0, -F_1(e_1), 0, 0), (-F_1(e_1), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)\\
R_{89} &= (\varPhi(-[\tilde{A}_1(1), \tilde{A}_1(e_1)], 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)\,(-[\tilde{A}_1(1), \tilde{A}_1(e_1)] \in \mathfrak{D}_4)
\end{aligned}$$

Then we can confirm that φ_* is a Lie-homomorphism, that is:

$$\varphi_*([G_{ij}, G_{kl}]) = [\varphi_*(G_{ij}), \varphi_*(G_{kl})].$$

In order to prove these, we need to check ${}_{45}C_2 = 990$ times if honestly doing. Then, we give only five examples. As the first example, we shall show that $\varphi_*([G_{01}, G_{02}]) = [\varphi_*(G_{01}), \varphi_*(G_{02})]$. Indeed, $\varphi_*([G_{01}, G_{02}]) = \varphi_*(-G_{12}) = -R_{12}$.
On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\varphi_*(G_{01}), \varphi_*(G_{02})] = [R_{01}, R_{02}]\\
= \ &\Big[(\varPhi(-i(E_2 - E_3)^{\sim}, 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 - E_3), -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 - E_3), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big)\Big]\\
= \ &\Big(\Big[\varPhi(-i(E_2 - E_3)^{\sim}, 0, 0, 0), \Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 - E_3), -\frac{i}{2}(E_2 - E_3), 0\Big)\Big], 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big)\\
= \ &\Big(\varPhi\Big(0, -\frac{1}{2}(E_2 + E_3), \frac{1}{2}(E_2 + E_3), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big)\\
= \ &-R_{12}.
\end{aligned}$$

As the second example, we shall show that $\varphi_*([G_{04}, G_{45}]) = [\varphi_*(G_{04}), \varphi_*(G_{45})]$. Indeed, $\varphi_*([G_{04}, G_{45}]) = \varphi_*(G_{05}) = R_{05}$.
On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\varphi_*(G_{04}), \varphi_*(G_{45})] = [R_{04}, R_{45}]\\
= \ &\Big[(0, (-(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0, 0), (0, -(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0), \Big(\varPhi\Big(i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, \frac{i}{2}\Big), 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}, 0, 0\Big)\Big]\\
= \ &\Big(0, -\Big(\varPhi(i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, \frac{i}{2}\Big)(-(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0, 0) + \frac{i}{2}(-(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0, 0),\\
&\qquad -\Big(\varPhi(i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, \frac{i}{2}\Big)(0, -(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0) - \frac{i}{2}(0, -(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0\Big)\\
= \ &(0, (-i(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0, 0), (0, i(E_2 - E_3), 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)\\
= \ &R_{05}.
\end{aligned}$$

As the third example, we shall show that $\varphi_*([G_{57}, G_{67}]) = [\varphi_*(G_{57}), \varphi_*(G_{67})]$. Indeed, $\varphi_*([G_{57}, G_{67}]) = \varphi_*(-G_{56}) = -R_{56}$.

On the other hand ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\varphi_*(G_{57}), \varphi_*(G_{67})] = [R_{57}, R_{67}] \\
= \ & \Big[\Big(\Phi\Big(0, \frac{1}{2}E_1, -\frac{1}{2}E_1, 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\Big), \Big(\Phi\Big(-i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}\Big), 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}, 0, 0\Big)\Big] \\
= \ & \Big(\Big[\Phi\Big(0, \frac{1}{2}E_1, -\frac{1}{2}E_1, 0\Big), \Phi\Big(-i(E_1 \vee E_1), 0, 0, -\frac{i}{2}\Big)\Big], 0, 0, 0, -2\Big(-\frac{i}{2}\Big)\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Big), 2\Big(-\frac{i}{2}\Big)\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Big)\Big) \\
= \ & \Big(\Phi\Big(0, \frac{i}{2}E_1, \frac{i}{2}E_1, 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, \frac{i}{2}, \frac{i}{2}\Big) \\
= \ & -R_{56}.
\end{aligned}
$$

As the forth example, we shall show that $\varphi_*([G_{36}, G_{68}]) = [\varphi_*(G_{36}), \varphi_*(G_{68})]$. Indeed, $\varphi_*([G_{36}, G_{68}]) = \varphi_*(G_{38}) = R_{38}$.
On the other hand ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\varphi_*(G_{36}), \varphi_*(G_{68})] = [R_{36}, R_{68}] \\
= \ & [(0, (-E_1, 0, -1, 0), (0, -E_1, 0, -1), 0, 0, 0), (0, iF_1(1), 0, 0), (-iF_1(1), 0, 0, 0), 0, 0, 0)] \\
= \ & ((-E_1, 0, -1, 0) \times (-iF_1(1), 0, 0, 0)) - (0, iF_1(1), 0, 0) \times (0, -E_1, 0, -1), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \\
= \ & \Big(\Phi\Big(0, \frac{i}{4}F_1(1), -\frac{i}{4}F_1(1), 0\Big) - \Phi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{4}F_1(1), -\frac{i}{4}F_1(1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
= \ & \Big(\Phi\Big(0, \frac{i}{2}F_1(1), -\frac{i}{2}F_1(1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
= \ & R_{38}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, as the fifth example, we shall show that $\varphi_*([G_{28}, G_{89}]) = [\varphi_*(G_{28}), \varphi_*(G_{89})]$. Indeed, $\varphi_*([G_{28}, G_{89}]) = \varphi_*(G_{29}) = R_{29}$.
On the other hand ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[\varphi_*(G_{28}), \varphi_*(G_{89})] = [R_{28}, R_{89}] \\
= \ & \Big[\Big(\Phi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{2}F_1(1), -\frac{i}{2}F_1(1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big), \Big(\Phi\Big(-[\tilde{A}_1(1), \tilde{A}_1(e_1)], 0, 0, 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big)\Big] \\
= \ & \Big(\Big[\Phi\Big(0, -\frac{i}{2}F_1(1), -\frac{i}{2}F_1(1), 0\Big), \Phi\Big(-[\tilde{A}_1(1), \tilde{A}_1(e_1)], 0, 0, 0\Big)\Big], 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
= \ & \Big(\Phi\Big(0, [\tilde{A}_1(1), \tilde{A}_1(e_1)]\Big(-\frac{1}{2}F_1(1)\Big), [\tilde{A}_1(1), \tilde{A}_1(e_1)]\Big(-\frac{1}{2}F_1(1)\Big), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
= \ & \Big(\Phi\Big(0, -\frac{1}{2}F_1(e_1), -\frac{1}{2}F_1(e_1), 0\Big), 0, 0, 0, 0, 0\Big) \\
= \ & R_{29}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we have $\dim(\mathfrak{so}(10)) = 45 = \dim((\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)})$ from Lemma 6.1, we see that φ_* is an isomorphism. Thus we have the required isomorphism $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)} \cong \mathfrak{so}(10)$ as a Lie algebra. The latter case is the complexification of the former case. □

Now, we shall prove the theorem as the aim of this section.

Theorem 6.3. *We have that* $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \cong Spin(10, C)$.

Proof. The group $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is connected(Theorem 5.5) and its type is $\mathfrak{so}(10, C)$ (Proposition 6.2). Hence the group $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ is isomorphic to either one of the following groups:

$$Spin(10, C), \quad SO(10, C), \quad Spin(10, C)/\boldsymbol{Z}_4.$$

Their centers of groups above are $\boldsymbol{Z}_4, \boldsymbol{Z}_2, \{1\}$, respectively. However, we see that the center of $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ has the elements $1, \sigma, \sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4$, and so its center is $\boldsymbol{Z}_4$. Hence the group $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ have to be isomorphic to $Spin(10, C)$. □

7. THE STRUCTURE OF THE GROUP $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$

By using the results of previous section, the aim of this section is to determine the structure of the group $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$.

Lemma 7.1. *The Lie algebra* $({\mathfrak{e}_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ *of the group* $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ *is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}({\mathfrak{e}_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4} &= \{R \in {\mathfrak{e}_8}^C \,|\, \sigma'_4 R = R\} \\ &= \left\{ (\Phi, P, Q, r, s, t) \in {\mathfrak{e}_8}^C \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \Phi \in ({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}, \\ P = (X, Y, \xi, \eta), \\ \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \xi_2 & x \\ 0 & \overline{x} & \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_2 & y \\ 0 & \overline{y} & \eta_3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \quad \xi_k, \eta_k, \xi, \eta \in C, x, y \in \boldsymbol{C}^C, \\ Q = (Z, W, \zeta, \omega) \text{ is same form as } P, \\ r, s, t \in C \end{array} \right\},\end{aligned}$$

as for the explicit form of the Lie algebra $({\mathfrak{e}_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$, *see Lemma 4.1* (*1*).

In particular,

$$\dim_C(({\mathfrak{e}_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}) = 33 + ((3 + 2) \times 2 + 1 \times 2) \times 2 + 3 = 60.$$

Proof. By the argument similar to Lemma 5.1, we have the required result. □

Now, we shall prove the following theorem as the aim of this section.

Theorem 7.2. *We have that* $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \cong (Spin(6, C) \times Spin(10, C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4$, $\boldsymbol{Z}_4 = \{(1, 1), (\sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4), (\sigma, \sigma), (\sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma'_4)\}$.

Proof. Let $Spin(6, C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} \subset ({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \subset ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ (Theorems 3.16, 4.21) and $Spin(10, C) \cong ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \subset ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ (Theorem 6.3). Then we define a mapping $\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4} : Spin(6, C) \times Spin(10, C) \to ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ by

$$\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\beta.$$

It is clear that $\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is well-defined. Since $[R_D, R_{10}] = 0$ for $R_D \in \mathfrak{spin}(6, C) = \mathfrak{so}(6, C) \cong ({\mathfrak{f}_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}, R_{10} \in \mathfrak{spin}(10, C) = \mathfrak{so}(10, C) \cong ({\mathfrak{e}_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$ (Lemmas 3.14, 6.1) and $Spin(6, C), Spin(10, C)$ are connected, we see that $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$. Hence $\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is a homomorphism. Moreover, we obtain that $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_4$. Indeed, since we see that $\dim_C(\mathfrak{spin}(6, C) \oplus \mathfrak{spin}(10, C)) = 15 + 45 = 60 = \dim_C((\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4})$ (Lemma 7.1) and from $z({\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}}_*) = \{0\}$(the mapping ${\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}}_*$ is the differential mapping of $\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$) we have that $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is discrete. Hence $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is contained in the center $z(Spin(6, C) \times Spin(10, C)) = z(Spin(6, C)) \times z(Spin(10, C)) = \{1, \sigma, \sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4\} \times \{1, \sigma, \sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4\}$. Then, among them, the mapping $\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$ maps only $(1, 1), (\sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4), (\sigma, \sigma), (\sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma'_4)$ to the identity 1. Hence we have that $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4} \subset \{(1, 1), ((\sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4), (\sigma, \sigma), (\sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma'_4)\}$, and vice versa. Thus we see that

$$\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4} = \{(1, 1), ((\sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4), (\sigma, \sigma), (\sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma'_4)\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_4.$$

Since $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ is connected and $\operatorname{Ker}\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is discrete, again together with $\dim_C(\mathfrak{so}(6, C) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(10, C)) = 15 + 45 = 60 = \dim_C((\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma'_4})$, $\varphi_{{E_8}^C,\sigma'_4}$ is surjection.

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \cong (Spin(6, C) \times Spin(10, C))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4.$$

□

8. MAIN THEOREM

By using results above, we shall determine the structure of the group $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4}$, which is the main theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *We have that* $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4} \cong (Spin(6) \times Spin(10))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4$, $\boldsymbol{Z}_4 = \{(1,1), (\sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4), (\sigma, \sigma), (\sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma'_4)\}$.

Proof. For $\delta \in (E_8)^{\sigma'_4} = (({E_8}^C)^{\tau\lambda_\omega})^{\sigma'_4} = (({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4})^{\tau\lambda_\omega} \subset ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$, there exist $\alpha \in Spin(6, C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong (({E_7}^C)^{\kappa,\mu})_{\tilde{E}_1,\tilde{E}_{-1},E_2\dot{+}E_3,E_2\dot{-}E_3,\dot{F}_1(e_k),k=0,1} \subset ({E_7}^C)^{\sigma'_4} \subset ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ and $\beta \in Spin(10, C) \cong ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)} \subset ({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4}$ such that $\delta = \varphi(\alpha, \beta) = \alpha\beta$ (Theorem 7.2). From the condition $\tau\lambda_\omega\delta\lambda_\omega\tau = \delta$, that is, $\tau\lambda_\omega\varphi(\alpha, \beta)\lambda_\omega\tau = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$, we have $\varphi(\tau\lambda_\omega\alpha\lambda_\omega\tau, \tau\beta\tau) = \varphi(\alpha, \beta)$. Hence, we have that

$$\text{(i)} \begin{cases} \tau\alpha\tau = \alpha \\ \tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau = \beta, \end{cases} \qquad \text{(ii)} \begin{cases} \tau\alpha\tau = \sigma\sigma'_4\alpha \\ \tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau = \sigma'_4\beta, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \begin{cases} \tau\alpha\tau = \sigma\alpha \\ \tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau = \sigma\beta, \end{cases} \qquad \text{(iv)} \begin{cases} \tau\alpha\tau = \sigma'_4\alpha \\ \tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau = \sigma\sigma'_4\beta. \end{cases}$$

Case (i). From the condition $\tau\alpha\tau = \alpha$, we have $\alpha \in Spin(6) \cong (F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$. Indeed, first since $Spin(6, C) \cong ({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ is simply connected, the group $(F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} = (({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1})^\tau$ is connected. Since $({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ acts on $(V^C)^6$, the group $(F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} = (({F_4}^C)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1})^\tau$ acts on

$$\begin{aligned} V^6 &= \{X \in (V^C)^6 \,|\, \tau X = X\} \\ &= \{X = F_1(t) \,|\, t = t_2e_2 + t_3e_3 + t_4e_4 + t_5e_5 + t_6e_6 + t_7e_7, t_k \in \boldsymbol{R}\} \end{aligned}$$

with the norm $(X, X) = 2t\bar{t}$. We can define a homomorphism $\pi : (F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \to SO(6) = SO(V^6)$ by $\pi(\alpha) = \alpha|_{V^6}$. Then it is easy to obtain that $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi = \{1, \sigma\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_2$. Since, by doing simple computation as in Lemma 3.14 we see $\dim((\mathfrak{f}_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}) = 15$, we have that $\dim((\mathfrak{f}_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}) = 15 = \dim(\mathfrak{so}(6))$, moreover $SO(6)$ is connected. Hence the mapping π is surjection. Thus we have that $(F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}/\boldsymbol{Z}_2 \cong SO(6)$. Therefore the group $(F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1}$ is isomorphic to $Spin(6)$ as the universal covering group $SO(6)$, that is, $(F_4)_{E_1,E_2,E_3,F_1(e_k),k=0,1} \cong Spin(6)$.

Next, from the condition $\tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau = \beta$, we see that the group $\{\alpha \in Spin(10, C) \,|\, \tau\lambda_\omega\beta\lambda_\omega\tau = \beta\} = (Spin(10, C))^{\tau\lambda_\omega}$ (which is connected) $= (({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)})^{\tau\lambda_\omega} = (E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)}$ (Theorem 6.3), and so its type is $\mathfrak{so}(10)$ (Proposition 6.2) (Note that $({E_8}^C)^{\tau\lambda_\omega} = E_8$ and the C-linear transformation $\tau\lambda_\omega$ induces the involutive automorphism of the group $({E_8}^C)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6,C)}$. Hence we see that the group $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)}$ is isomorphic to either one of

$$Spin(10), \quad SO(10), \quad Spin(10)/\boldsymbol{Z}_4.$$

Their center are $\boldsymbol{Z}_4, \boldsymbol{Z}_2, \{1\}$, respectively. However, since the center of $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)}$ has the elements $1, \sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma, \sigma'_4$, the group $(E_8)^{\sigma'_4, \mathfrak{so}(6)}$ has to be isomorphic to $Spin(10)$ and its center is $\{1, \sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma, \sigma'_4\} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}_4$. Hence the group of Case (i) is isomorphic to the group $(Spin(6) \times Spin(10))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4$.

Case (ii). This case is impossible. Indeed, for $\alpha \in Spin(6, C) \subset Spin(8, C)$ we can set $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \alpha_1 \in SO(6, C) \subset SO(8, C), \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in SO(8, C)$ satisfying $(\alpha_1 x)(\alpha_2 y) = \overline{\alpha_3(\overline{xy})}, x, y, \in \mathfrak{C}^C$, and similarly for $\sigma'_4 \in Spin(8)$, set $\sigma'_4 = (\sigma'_1, \sigma'_2, \sigma'_3), \sigma_k \in SO(8) \subset SO(8, C)$ satisfying $(\sigma'_1 x)(\sigma'_2 y) = \overline{\sigma'_3(\overline{xy})}, x, y, \in \mathfrak{C}$. (Remark. As a matrix, σ'_1, σ'_2 and σ'_3

are expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma'_1 &= \operatorname{diag}(1,1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1,-1), \\ \sigma'_2 &= \operatorname{diag}(-J,-J,-J,-J),\ J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \sigma'_3 &= \operatorname{diag}(J,-J,-J,-J).) \end{aligned}$$

Then, from the condition $\tau\alpha\tau = \sigma\sigma'_4\alpha$, we have $(\tau\alpha_1, \tau\alpha_2, \tau\alpha_3) = (\sigma'_1\alpha_1, -\sigma'_2\alpha_2, -\sigma'_3\alpha_3)$, that is,

$$\tau\alpha_1 = \sigma'_1\alpha_1, \quad \tau\alpha_2 = -\sigma'_2\alpha_2, \quad \tau\alpha_3 = -\sigma'_3\alpha_3.$$

Here, as matrix, α_1 is expressed as follows:

$$\alpha_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{matrix} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A \end{array}\right), \ A \in SO(6, C),$$

where all blanks mean 0. Then, from $\tau\alpha_1 = \sigma'_1\alpha_1$, we have

$$\alpha_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{matrix} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & A' \end{array}\right), \ A' = iB \in SO(6, C),\ i^2 = -1.$$

As for α_2, from $\tau\alpha_2 = -\sigma'_2\alpha_2$, we have $\alpha_2 = 0$. Indeed, from the explicit form of σ_2, it is sufficient to confirm this in the case 2×2-matrix. From

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b & -a \\ d & -c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau a & \tau b \\ \tau c & \tau d \end{pmatrix},$$

we have that $\tau a = b, \tau b = -a, \tau c = d, \tau d = -c$, that is, $a = b = c = d = 0$. Hence we see $\alpha_2 = 0$. This is contrary to $\alpha_2 \in SO(8, C)$.

Case (iii). This case is also impossible. Indeed, from the condition $\tau\alpha\tau = \sigma\alpha$, we have $(\tau\alpha_1, \tau\alpha_2, \tau\alpha_3) = (\alpha_1, -\alpha_2, -\alpha_3)$, that is,

$$\tau\alpha_1 = \alpha_1, \quad \tau\alpha_2 = -\alpha_2, \quad \tau\alpha_3 = -\alpha_3.$$

From $\tau\alpha_1 = \alpha_1$, we have $\alpha_1 \in SO(6) \subset SO(8)$. Hence, by the Principal of triality on $SO(8)$ we see that $\alpha_k \in SO(8), k = 2, 3$, that is, $\tau\alpha_k = \alpha_k, k = 2, 3$. However, from $\tau\alpha_k = -\alpha_k, k = 2, 3$, we have $\alpha_k = \tau\alpha_k = -\alpha_k$, that is, $\alpha_k = 0$. This is contrary to $\alpha_k \in SO(8)$.

Case (iv). This case is also impossible. Indeed, from the condition $\tau\alpha\tau = \sigma'_4\alpha$, we have $(\tau\alpha_1, \tau\alpha_2, \tau\alpha_3) = (\sigma'_1\alpha_1, \sigma'_2\alpha_2, \sigma'_3\alpha_3)$, that is,

$$\tau\alpha_1 = \sigma'_1\alpha_1, \quad \tau\alpha_2 = \sigma'_2\alpha_2, \quad \tau\alpha_3 = \sigma'_3\alpha_3.$$

As in the Case (ii), we have $\alpha_2 = 0$. This is contrary to $\alpha_2 \in SO(8, C)$.

Therefore we have the required isomorphism

$$(E_8)^{\sigma'_4} \cong (Spin(6) \times Spin(10))/\boldsymbol{Z}_4, \ \boldsymbol{Z}_4 = \{(1,1), (\sigma'_4, \sigma\sigma'_4), (\sigma, \sigma), (\sigma\sigma'_4, \sigma'_4)\}.$$

□

Acknowledgments.

The author would like to thank the members of *YSTM*: Professor Ichiro Yokota, Osamu Shukuzawa, Kentaro Takeuchi, Takashi Miyasaka, Tetsuo Ishihara and Tomohiko Tsukada for their valuable comments, suggestions and encouragement.

References

[1] T. Imai and I. Yokota, Simply connected compact simple Lie group $E_{8(-248)}$ of type E_8, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 21(1981), 741-762.
[2] H. Kurihara and K. Tojo, Involutions of compact Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces, Osaka J. Math. 45(2008), 645-689.
[3] A. Gray, Riemannian manifolds with geodesic symmetries of order 3, J. Differential Geometry 7 (1972), 343-369.
[4] J.A. Jiménez, Riemannian 4-symmetric spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 306 (1988), 715-734.
[5] T. Miyashita and I. Yokota, An explicit isomorphism between $(\mathfrak{e}_8)^{\sigma,\sigma',\mathfrak{so}(8)}$ and $\mathfrak{so}(8)\oplus\mathfrak{so}(8)$ as Lie algebras, Math. J. Toyama Univ. 24(2001), 151-158.
[6] T. Miyashita, Realizations of globally exceptional $\mathrm{Z}_2\times\mathrm{Z}_2$-symmetric spaces, Tsukuba J. Math. 38-2(2014), 239-311.
[7] I. Yokota, T. Imai and O. Yasukura, On the homogeneous space E_8/E_7, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 23-3(1983), 467-473.
[8] I. Yokota, Realizations of involutive automorphisms σ and G^σ of exceptional linear Lie groups G, Part I, $G = G_2, F_4$, and E_6, Tsukuba J. Math. 14(1990), 185-223.
[9] I. Yokota, Realizations of involutive automorphisms σ and G^σ of exceptional linear Lie groups G, Part II, $G = E_7$, Tsukuba J. Math. 14(1990), 378-404.
[10] I. Yokota, Realizations of involutive automorphisms σ and G^σ of exceptional linear Lie groups G, Part III, $G = E_8$, Tsukuba J. Math. 15(1991), 301-314.
[11] I. Yokota, Exceptional simple Lie groups, arXiv:math/0902.0431vl[mathDG](2009).

Toshikazu Miyashita
Ueda-Higashi High School
Ueda City
Nagano 386-8683
Japan
E-mail: *anarchybin@gmail.com*